

January 13, 1989

LB 30-34, 361, 410-460

CLERK: Mr. President, I do, thank you. I have a reference report referring LBs 374-409, signed by Senator Labedz as Chair of the Reference Committee.

In addition to that, Mr. President, I have received a communication from the Chair of the Reference Committee referring the communication received from the University Board of Regents regarding the University Health Care project. That has been referred to Appropriations Committee for public hearing.

Mr. President, your Committee on Enrollment and Review respectfully reports they have carefully examined and reviewed LB 30 and recommend that same be placed on Select File; LB 31, LB 32, LB 33 and LB 34, all on Select File, Mr. President, all with E & R amendments attached. (See pages 223-26 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, new bills. (Read LBs 410-449 by title for the first time as found on pages 226-49 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, in addition to those items I have notice of hearings from the Agriculture Committee offered by Senator Rod Johnson as Chair; from the Business and Labor Committee offered by Senator Coordsen as Chair; from the General Affairs Committee. That is offered by Senator Smith as Chair. And, Mr. President, a notice of hearing from Senator Warner as Chair of the Appropriations Committee.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, new bills. (Read LBs 450-459 by title for the first time. See pages 236-38 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, finally, I have an announcement the Urban Affairs Committee has selected Senator Korshoj as Vice-Chair of the committee.

Senator Rod Johnson would like to add his name to LB 361 as co-introducer. (See page 238 of the Legislative Journal.)

(Read LB 460 by title for the first time. See page 238 of the Legislative Journal.)

February 2, 1989

LB 33, 34, 281, 357, 416, 417
LR 22

PRESIDENT: The resolution is adopted. We'll now go to Final Reading. If you'll please return to your desks, we will begin. Senator Wesely, would you like to return to your seat, please. Thank you. Senator Pirsch, would you like to return to your seat so we can begin Final Reading, please. Thank you. Mr. Clerk, LB 33.

CLERK: (Read LB 33 on Final Reading.)

PRESIDENT: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall LB 33 pass? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read record vote. See page 557 of the Legislative Journal.) The vote is 12 ayes, 0 nays, 7 excused and not voting, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: LB 33 passes. LB 34, please.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read LB 34 on Final Reading.)

PRESIDENT: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall LB 34 pass? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: (Read record vote. See page 558 of the Legislative Journal.) 43 ayes, 0 nays, 1 present and not voting, 5 excused and not voting, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: LB 34 passes. While the Legislature is in session and capable of transacting business, I propose to sign and do sign LB 34 and LB 33. Do you have something for the record, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Mr. President, I do. Your Committee on Transportation, whose Chair is Senator Lamb, to whom was referred LB 281, instructs me to report the same back to the Legislature with the recommendation it be advanced to General File; LB 416 General File; and LB 417 indefinitely postponed, those signed by Senator Lamb. (See page 559 of the Legislative Journal.)

And Senator Nelson has amendments to be printed to LB 357. (See

February 14, 1989 LB 147A, 224A, 350A, 361A, 375, 408A, 416
535, 570, 629, 801

indefinitely postponed; LB 375, indefinitely postponed; LB 535, indefinitely postponed; LB 570, LB 629, LB 801, all indefinitely postponed, signed by Senator Hall as Chair of Revenue. (See page 742 of the Legislative Journal.)

New A bills, Mr. President. (Read LB 224A, LB 350A, LB 408A, LB 361A and LB 147A by title for the first time. See page 743 of the Legislative Journal.) That is all that I have, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Fine, thank you. We'll move on to LB 416.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 416 was introduced by Senator Robak. (Title read.) The bill was introduced on January 13, referred to the Transportation Committee for public hearing, advanced to General File. I have no amendments to the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Robak, please.

SENATOR ROBAK: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I don't want to make light of this situation, but in my office we refer to this as the K-Mart blue light special. LB 416 permits the use of blue flashing lights in conjunction with red and white flashing lights on emergency vehicles. Current law only now uses...permits the use of red and white lights. However, several Nebraska jurisdictions are already using blue with red and white lights. Studies have shown that at night blue and white lights are more visible than red and white lights. The Columbus police chief surveyed over 30 law enforcement agencies in Nebraska and learned that 20 are currently using blue lights. There is some confusion as to whether or not law enforcement agencies have the authority to use blue lights. For example, a city attorney told a police chief that blue lights were authorized while the county attorney told him that they were not. However, since 20 jurisdictions already use the blue lights, obviously, other positive legal opinions have been rendered in this matter. In 1982 a similar bill was introduced in the Legislature. The reason it was defeated at that time was because there were no studies done then to determine the effectiveness of blue lights. Those studies now have been done and they conclusively show that blue lights are much easier for motorists to see at night. During the day red lights are better, but the addition of blue at night greatly increases the visibility of the emergency vehicle. The other arguments were that if the lights were mandatory, it would be too expensive for

local subdivisions to replace their lights and in the opposite, if the blue lights were optional, it would just confuse people. Well, LB 416 is permissive so there should not be any concern over cost. Since so many law enforcement agencies are already using blue lights without confusion, I think we should simply legalize their activities. Thank you. I ask you for your support of LB 416.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Chambers, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I don't know what unkind fate contrived to bring all of these bills together on this morning and why they couldn't have been brought up yesterday when the inclement weather and the slippery highway kept me away from the Legislature, but nevertheless...

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

PRESIDENT: Maybe it's because it's Valentine's Day.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Ohhh, right. At any rate, this is one of those bills that I have always opposed. I have opposed it because I think there should not be a plethora of different ways to identify law enforcement representatives. If you're going to add blue lights, then you may as well have any kind of flashing light that anybody wants, then the citizen just has to take a chance and hope that the vehicle with the flashing light approaching them is a law enforcement vehicle and that they are being approached for a legitimate reason. In Iowa, to try to catch speeders, they were using old trucks and other vehicles along the highway, but the nefarious element of the population caught on very quickly and began to pull women motorists over and they would do very bad things to them so it reached the point where women not only were not stopping, but they were advised not to stop unless it was a clearly marked law enforcement vehicle. In Omaha and other cities, I presume, there are various types of security outfits. They drive cars that approximate the appearance of law enforcement vehicles as much as possible. In Omaha I don't believe that they can use flashing red lights if they are private security. Senator Chizek just shook, no, they cannot. So, if you see one of these cars, you can identify it as not being law enforcement because of that feature if no other one. These various agencies that

are using these blue lights are in violation of the law but, as has been shown in other instances, if they believe the law is not to their liking, they don't have to comply with it. So what the Legislature does is to try to make right that which is wrong when it is done by law enforcement. How is a person who has been accustomed to identifying the red lights or the combination red and white flashing lights with law enforcement going to know that a vehicle with flashing lights of any other color are or are not law enforcement? There will be no way to know. I'm not going to fight this bill like I did the other one because it is only going to affect the people in those communities where they want to have all these different kind of lights. Flag is what we have now, red, white and blue lights, very patriotic, and if you all feel comfortable with it and you feel that the people in your area don't have anything to be concerned about, then I don't think we ought to designate any color of light and we should not restrict the use of these lights to anybody or prohibit anybody from having any kind of flashing light of any color. And with that, I'm going to watch and see what the Legislature does on this bill which I think is a bad one, I've always opposed it in the past and I will continue to oppose it today.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Abboud, please.

SENATOR ABOUD: Mr. President, colleagues, I'd like to...I'd like Senator Chambers just to sit back and listen to the debate but I'm just not going to let him do that. I have a question for him if he would care to respond.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, please.

SENATOR ABOUD: Senator Chambers, your wealth of knowledge on these blue lights, I feel, should be tapped. I have a question in regards to these blue lights. Now, do they...would there be a restriction or is there a restriction in the law, let's assume that some private security guard decides to use blue lights on his automobile, would there be any restrictions on that?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right now there is not and if Senator Roark is saying that it's optional for law enforcement to use these, then the private citizens must be able to continue using them too unless they are adding language that says that the private citizens...that they can be used only by law enforcement but they don't have to be. So they would leave it optional for law

enforcement, but forbidden to private citizens.

SENATOR ABBOUD: So a private citizen at this time can use a blue flashing light.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR ABBOUD: And...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, Senator Smith said, no, they can't so maybe she is the one who ought to...oh, okay. Okay, go ahead, Senator Abboud.

SENATOR ABBOUD: And by the passage of this bill there would not be any restrictions on an individual's use of blue flashing lights?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Maybe we ought to ask Senator Robak because it's her bill and I don't know what all they're doing in terms of that aspect of it, I really don't. I'm just opposed to them even if they make it permissive for law enforcement.

SENATOR ABBOUD: Thank you, Senator Chambers, I appreciate you sharing your knowledge on these lights with us. Senator, would you respond to that question, Senator Robak?

PRESIDENT: Are you addressing Senator Robak?

SENATOR ABBOUD: Yes.

PRESIDENT: Okay, Senator Robak.

SENATOR ROBAK: I didn't hear the question.

SENATOR ABBOUD: The question is, would there...at the current time, it was my understanding as well that an individual, a private citizen can use blue lights on their automobile, let's say for a volunteer fireman or a private security guard and would there be any restriction on a private citizen using those blue lights if this bill became law?

SENATOR ROBAK: No, a private citizen cannot. It can only be a volunteer fire fighter or a law enforcement officer, only after it has been authorized in writing by the sheriff.

SENATOR ABBLOUD: Well...Senator, thank you. I do have some concerns here. I don't know whether...that particular area does bother me about the law if a private citizen can use that much the same as if there was a green flashing light or a yellow. I know a number of utility companies use the yellow lights. People that use snow removal use the yellow lights and I think that we should have a restriction. If we're going to go to these blue lights, there should be further restriction from a citizen being able to use the lights. I recall a couple of years ago we had a bill in allowing individuals that had a tow truck to use the red flashing lights, giving it a greater...great deal of authority, and I think that's the problem. When you're driving on the interstate highway, you see a yellow flashing light it means something different to a citizen, much the same as a red flashing light has a different impact on the citizen as well. And if we're going to move up the importance and significance of blue flashing lights, I think that the law should restrict an individual's use to only emergency personnel or law enforcement personnel and I would like to see that added into the language of this bill. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Smith, please. No? Yes?

SENATOR SMITH: Call the question.

PRESIDENT: Well, thank you, that won't be necessary, but I appreciate it anyway. Senator Robak, would you like to close on your motion to advance the bill?

SENATOR ROBAK: Yes. Senator Abboud, if you want to read page 2 on the bill, it will explain your concerns. It can only be used by police, fire or rescue vehicles or volunteer fire fighters or law enforcement agencies by written permission of the county sheriff. And studies have been done by the Department of California Highway Patrol about the blue lights being able to be seen better at night and I ask for your support of LB 416.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. The question is the advancement of the bill. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 4 nays, Mr. President, on the advancement of 416.

PRESIDENT: LB 416 is advanced. LB 443.

CLERK: Mr. President, 443 was a bill that was introduced by Senators Robak, Kristensen and Pirsch. (Title read.) The bill was introduced on January 13, Mr. President, referred to Judiciary Committee. The bill was advanced to General File. I have no committee amendments, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Robak, are you going to work again?

SENATOR ROBAK: I think so.

PRESIDENT: Okay.

SENATOR ROBAK: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, LB 443 changes the corroboration rule. Corroboration is a judicially created evidentiary rule that establishes special requirements for sexual assault prosecutions. Specifically, corroboration is additional testimony of evidence beyond the testimony of a victim. Without corroboration, a conviction for a sexual assault cannot be upheld in Nebraska. LB 443 eliminates the corroboration rule for persons 15 years of age or older. Nebraska is the only state in the Union that has retained the corroboration rule for all cases of sexual assault. Corroboration is practically required in six states since they permit third party complaints as testimony. The Pages have passed out a fact sheet outlining the corroboration rules in other states. Corroboration is not required for any other criminal testimony in Nebraska. For example, if a man shoots a gun at me and, hopefully, he is a bad enough shot to miss me entirely, he can be convicted of attempted murder on my eyewitness testimony alone. However, if that same man rapes me, the courts require additional evidence beyond my eyewitness testimony to obtain a conviction. Practically, the corroboration rule has a low threshold of compliance. Mere reporting the assault to offend by the victim satisfies the corroboration rules. The continued existence of the corroboration rule, therefore, does little to protect an innocent defendant while perpetuating an assaulting stereotype of women victims of sexual assault. Because children are not always reliable witnesses, LB 443 maintains the corroboration rule for children. The age of 15 was selected because Nebraska statutes already define children as under the age of 15 for the crime of felony sexual contact of a child. The Pages have also handed out a one-page fact sheet on the history and use of the

February 15, 1989 LB 57, 58, 70, 74, 94, 97, 115
116, 126, 133, 142, 156, 175A, 177A
208, 229, 230, 233, 251, 255, 256
261A, 263, 267, 273, 281, 284A, 295
338, 378, 391, 398, 416, 443, 458
459, 499, 502

SPEAKER BARRETT PRESIDING

SPEAKER BARRETT: Welcome to the George W. Norris Legislative Chamber. Please rise for the opening prayer. Our Chaplain for the day is Father Daniel Sieker, of Blessed Sacrament in Lincoln. Father Sieker.

FATHER SIEKER: (Prayer offered.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you, Father Sieker. Please come back again. Roll call.

CLERK: I have a quorum present, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Corrections to the Journal.

CLERK: I have no corrections, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Any reports, messages, or announcements?

CLERK: Mr. President, your Committee on Enrollment and Review respectfully reports they have carefully examined and reviewed LB 502 and recommend that same be placed on Select File, LB 281 Select File, LB 416 Select File, LB 443 Select File, those signed by Senator Lindsay as Chair. Mr. President, your Committee on Enrollment and Review reports LB 74 as correctly engrossed; LB 116, LB 175A, LB 177A, LB 208, LB 261A, LB 263, LB 267, LB 273, LB 284A, LB 338, LB 378, LB 391, LB 398, LB 458, LB 459, and LB 499, all reported correctly engrossed, all signed by Senator Lindsay. (See pages 746-47 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, a communication from the Governor to the Clerk. (Read. Re: LB 57, LB 94, LB 97, LB 126, LB 133, LB 229, LB 230, LB 233, LB 251, LB 255, LB 295, LB 58, LB 70, LB 115, LB 142, LB 156, LB 256. See page 748 of the Legislative Journal.)

February 21, 1989 LB 157, 176, 183, 280, 416, 502, 567A

Opposed nay. It is advanced. LB 140. We'll skip that one since it has an amendment on it. Do we have anything on LB 502, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Senator, I have no amendments to LB 502.

PRESIDENT: Okay, Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Mr. President, I move that LB 502 be advanced.

PRESIDENT: You've heard the motion. All in favor say aye. Opposed nay. It is advanced. LB 416, please.

CLERK: 416, Senator, I have E & R amendments pending.

PRESIDENT: Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Mr. President, I move that the E & R amendments to LB 416 be adopted.

PRESIDENT: You've heard the motion. All in favor say aye. Opposed nay. They are adopted.

CLERK: I have nothing further on that bill, Senator.

PRESIDENT: Senator Lindsay, please.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Mr. President, I move that LB 416 as amended be advanced.

PRESIDENT: You've heard the motion. All in favor say aye. Opposed nay. It is advanced. We'll skip LB 443 since it also has amendments on it. Mr. Clerk, do you have anything for the good of the cause?

CLERK: Mr. President, thank you, I do. Senator Chizek has amendments to be printed to LB 157 and Senator Lamb has amendments...a series of amendments to LB 183. (See pages 808-15 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, new A bill, LB 567A by Senator Withem. (Read by title for the first time. See page 815 of the Legislative Journal.)

Transportation Committee to whom was referred LB 280 instructs

February 22, 1989 LB 64, 339, 357, 361, 371, 416, 444
482, 502, 559, 730, 782
LR 34, 35

LB 416, LB 502, all correctly engrossed, all signed by Senator Lindsay as Chair. (See page 829 of the Legislative Journal.)

Senator Landis has amendments to LB 361; Senator Nelson to LB 357. (See pages 830-31 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, Senator Warner would like to announce the room changes for hearings scheduled for March 1 and March 3.

Mr. President, the Natural Resources Committee reports LB 339 to General File with amendments, LB 730 to General File with amendments; Urban Affairs Committee reports LB 444 to General File with amendments; Banking reports LB 482 to General File, LB 64 indefinitely postponed, LB 559 indefinitely postponed, LB 782 indefinitely postponed; and General Affairs reports LB 371 to General File with amendments; all signed by their respective Chairs. (See pages 831-34 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, new resolutions, LR 34 offered by Senator Weihing. (Read brief explanation.) LR 35 by Senator Rogers. (Read brief explanation. See pages 835-36 of the Legislative Journal) Both of those will be laid over, Mr. President.

Mr. President, Senator Schellpeper has amendments to LB 357 to be printed. (See pages 836-39 of the Legislative Journal.) That is all that I have, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Robak, would you like to adjourn us until tomorrow at nine o'clock which is February 23rd.

SENATOR ROBAK: I move that we adjourn until tomorrow, February 23rd, at nine o'clock.

PRESIDENT: You have heard the motion. All in favor say aye. Opposed nay. You are adjourned until tomorrow at nine o'clock.

Proofed by:

Sandy Ryan
Sandy Ryan

March 2, 1989

LB 327, 349, 416, 502

those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted?
Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read record vote as found on page 947 of the
Legislative Journal.) The vote is 44 ayes, 0 nays, 1 present
and not voting, 4 excused and not voting, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: LB 327 passes. LB 349.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read LB 349 on Final Reading.)

PRESIDENT: All provisions of law relative to procedure having
been complied with, the question is, shall LB 349 pass? All
those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted?
Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read record vote as found on pages 947-48 of
the Legislative Journal.) The vote is 43 ayes, 0 nays,
2 present and not voting, 4 excused and not voting,
Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: LB 349 passes. LB 416.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read LB 416 on Final Reading.)

PRESIDENT: All provisions of law relative to procedure having
been complied with, the question is, shall LB 416 pass? All
those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted?
Record, please.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read record vote as found on pages 948-49 of
the Legislative Journal.) The vote is 38 ayes, 3 nays,
4 present and not voting, 4 excused and not voting,
Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: LB 416 passes. LB 502.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read LB 502 on Final Reading.)

PRESIDENT: All provisions of law relative to procedure having
been complied with, the question is, shall LB 502 pass? All
those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted?

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read record vote as found on pages 949-50 of
the Legislative Journal.) The vote is 43 ayes, 0 nays,

March 2, 1989

LB 48, 49, 61, 176, 226, 298, 327
349, 391, 398, 408, 416, 458, 459
502

2 present and not voting, 4 excused and not voting,
Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: LB 502 passes. While the Legislature is in session and capable of transacting business, I propose to sign and do sign LB 391, LB 398, LB 458, LB 459, LB 48, LB 61, LB 176, LB 298, LB 327, LB 349, LB 416 and LB 502. May I introduce some guests, please, of Senator Hefner. Under the south balcony we have Mr. and Mrs. Darrell Henry of Coleridge, Nebraska. Would you folks please stand and be recognized. Thank you for visiting us today. Mr. Clerk, something for the record?

CLERK: Mr. President, your Committee on Education reports LB 226 to General File with amendments, signed by Senator Withem. Agriculture Committee reports LB 49 to General File with committee amendments, signed by Senator Johnson as Chair. That's all that I have, Mr. President. (See page 950 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT: Thank you. We'll move on to Select File. LB 408.

CLERK: Mr. President, the first bill on Select File, LB 408. The first order of business are E & R amendments.

PRESIDENT: Senator Moore, please.

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. President, I move we adopt the E & R amendments to LB 408.

PRESIDENT: You've heard the motion. All in favor say aye. Opposed nay. They are adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, the first amendment I have to the bill is by Senator Barrett. Senator, I have AM306, it's on page 692 of the Journal.

PRESIDENT: Senator Barrett, please.

SENATOR BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. President and members. Yes, this little amendment is on page 306 or rather 692 in the Journal. It affects only the exchange program, Mr. President. The original bill put a limitation on which would have prevented an exchange student from attending a high school within 150 miles of his own school. We heard from a superintendent in Columbus who said we may have some students who would like to go

March 2, 1989

LB 48, 61, 161, 176, 298, 327, 334
349, 354, 354A, 391, 398, 416, 458
459, 502, 542

adopted...or, excuse me, as amended be advanced.

PRESIDENT: You've heard the motion. All in favor say aye.
Opposed nay. It is advanced. LB 354.

CLERK: LB 354, Senator, no amendments to the bill.

PRESIDENT: Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Mr. President, I move that LB 354 be advanced.

PRESIDENT: You've heard the motion. All in favor say aye.
Opposed nay. It is advanced. LB 354A.

CLERK: On 354A, Senator, I have no amendments to the bill.

PRESIDENT: You've heard the motion...Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Mr. President, I move that LB 354A be
advanced.

PRESIDENT: You've heard the motion. All in favor say aye.
Opposed nay. It is advanced. Mr. Speaker, did you wish to say
something about the time of the meeting tomorrow morning before
we adjourn?

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. President, just a reminder that
we will convene at eight o'clock tomorrow morning for the
purpose of reading, I believe it is LB 92, the big bill. Thank
you. Eight o'clock, tomorrow morning.

PRESIDENT: Okay, Mr. Clerk, do you have something for the
record?

CLERK: Mr. President, I do. Senator Rod Johnson would move
that LB 161 be placed on General File pursuant to Rule 3,
Section 19, and that will be laid over.

Your Enrolling Clerk has presented to the Governor as of
eleven-o-five this morning bills read on Final Reading this
morning. (Re: LB 391, LB 398, LB 458, LB 459, LB 48, LB 61,
LB 176, LB 298, LB 327, LB 349, LB 416, LB 502. See page 956 of
the Legislative Journal.)

Banking Committee reports LB 542 to General File with amendments

March 7, 1989

LB 48, 61, 92, 92A, 147, 147A, 154
176, 298, 327, 349, 360, 360A, 391
398, 416, 441, 458, 459, 502

PRESIDENT NICHOL PRESIDING

PRESIDENT: Welcome to the George W. Norris Legislative Chamber. We have with us this morning as our chaplain of the day Reverend Steve Janovec of the People's City Mission in Lincoln. Would you please rise for the convocation.

REVEREND JANOVEC: (Prayer offered.)

PRESIDENT: Thank you, Reverend Janovec. Roll call, please. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: We have a quorum present, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Any corrections to the Journal this morning?

CLERK: No corrections, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Do you have any messages, reports or announcements?

CLERK: Mr. President, your Committee on Enrollment and Review respectfully reports they have carefully examined and reviewed LB 147 and recommend that same be placed on Select File; LB 147A, Select File.

Mr. President, Enrollment and Review reports LB 154, LB 360, LB 360A and LB 441 as correctly engrossed, both those items signed by Senator Lindsay as Chair of the Enrollment and Review Committee. (See pages 1003-04 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, communications from the Governor. (Read communications regarding signing of LB 391, LB 398, LB 458, LB 459, LB 48, LB 61, LB 176, LB 298, LB 327, LB 349, LB 416, LB 502, LB 92 and LB 92A. See page 1004 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, an appointment letter from the Governor appointing Ms. Kathy Campbell to the Child Abuse Prevention Fund Board. That will be referred to Reference. (See page 1005 of the Legislative Journal.)

I have a report from the Job Training area for the Department of Labor, Mr. President. That will be on file in my office. (See page 1005 of the Legislative Journal.)