

Revised based upon amendments adopted through April 29, 2009

FISCAL NOTE
LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

| ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT – STATE AGENCIES * | | | | |
|---|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|
| | FY 2009-10 | | FY 2010-11 | |
| | EXPENDITURES | REVENUE | EXPENDITURES | REVENUE |
| GENERAL FUNDS | | | | |
| CASH FUNDS | | | | |
| FEDERAL FUNDS | | | | |
| OTHER FUNDS | | | | |
| TOTAL FUNDS | | | | |

*Does not include any impact on political subdivisions. See narrative for political subdivision estimates.

As amended, LB495 contains its original provisions as well as provisions and amended provisions relating to LB647, LB338, and LB104.

The bill establishes certain notice requirements to be met by cities of the first and second class as well as villages when such municipalities propose annexations. An initial notice of a proposed annexation is to be sent to owners of property within the area proposed to be annexed prior to a planning commission public hearing on the proposed annexation. The notice of a proposed annexation is to be sent by regular U.S. mail to owners of property within the area to be annexed. A certified letter is to be sent to the clerk of any sanitary and improvement district if the annexation includes property within the boundaries of such a district. The bill prescribes the content of these mailings. The bill further requires a second notice of the proposed annexation to be sent to affected property owners by regular U.S. mail prior to a municipality governing board public hearing with respect to a proposed annexation. The bill prescribes the content of the mailing. The impact of these notice requirements is dependent upon the extent to which affected municipalities consider annexation proposals as well as the nature of the proposed annexations. Costs relating to the notice requirements would be incurred; however, the extent of such costs would vary by annexations proposed.

LB495 also amends laws governing authorized municipality controls over growth of grass and weeds on properties within their corporate limits and extra-territorial zoning jurisdiction. While the bill may represent implications with respect to certain notice requirements associated with municipal grass and weed control, related fiscal impacts are estimated to be relatively nominal.

The bill also amends provisions relating to annexation by cities of the first and second class as well as villages within a county with a population greater than 100,000 but less than 200,000. As such, applicability of these provisions is effectively limited to municipalities in Sarpy County. The related provisions may have implications in terms of notice requirements associated with annexation proposals; however, related fiscal impacts would be dependent upon the frequency and nature of annexation proposals considered by the related municipal governing bodies. Related fiscal impacts, while indeterminate, are estimated to be relatively modest.