PREPARED BY: DATE PREPARED: PHONE: Doug Nichols February 4, 2013 402-471-0052

LB 212

Revision: 00

FISCAL NOTE

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT - STATE AGENCIES (See narrative for political subdivision estimates)										
	FY 2013-14		FY 2014-15							
	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE						
GENERAL FUNDS	10,000									
CASH FUNDS										
FEDERAL FUNDS										
OTHER FUNDS										
TOTAL FUNDS	10,000									

Any Fiscal Notes received from state agencies and political subdivisions are attached following the Legislative Fiscal Analyst Estimate.

This bill would change court-ordered parenting plan provisions of the Parenting Act.

The Supreme Court estimates a one-time General Fund cost of \$10,000 to reprint the required brochures, revise the curriculum, and conduct training for mediators. The Court also notes that they may need additional court staff and resources, but not in the next biennium. See the Supreme Court's response for additional details.

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES-STATE BUDGET DIVISION: REVIEW OF AGENCY & POLT. SUB. RESPONSES							
LB: LB 212	AM:	AGENCY/POLT. SUB: Supreme Court (005)					
REVIEWED BY: Joe Wilcox			DATE: February 4 th , 2013	PHONE: (402) 471-4178			

COMMENTS: The Supreme Court fiscal note on LB 212 is almost identical to the note on LB 22 and indicates potential one-time costs of \$10,000 in order to reprint required brochures concerning the Parenting Act and to revise the curriculum and to conduct training for Parenting Act mediators. This amount does not appear to be unreasonable, depending on the type and number of training sessions provided and the number of participants. The fiscal note also suggests there may be additional staffing costs beyond the 2013 – 2015 biennium, but makes no projections on such potential staffing costs.

State Agency OR Political Subdivision Name: (2) Prepared by: (3)Eric Asboe		Supreme Court				
		Date Prepared: (4) 2/1/13 Phone: (5) 1-4138				
	ESTIMATE PROVIDE	ED BY STATE AGENO	CY OR POLITICAL	<u>SUBDIVISI</u>	ON	
	<u>FY 20</u> <u>EXPENDITURES</u>	013-14 <u>REVENUE</u>	<u>EXPENDIT</u>	FY 201	014-15 <u>REVENUE</u>	
GENERAL FUNDS	10,000					
CASH FUNDS						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER FUNDS						
TOTAL FUNDS	10,000					
court staff was adde	iscal impact: the expe d in order to assist in Supreme Court in the	the implementation of	of parenting plans	. Additional	positions would not	
Personal Services:	MAJOR	OBJECTS OF EXPEN	NDITURE			
POSITION 1		MBER OF POSITION 13-14 14-15	S 2013-1 EXPENDIT		2014-15 EXPENDITURES	
Benefits						
Operating						