

Handwritten mark

PREPARED BY:
DATE PREPARED:
PHONE:

Doug Nichols
February 10, 2011
471-0052

LB 694

Revision: 00

FISCAL NOTE

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

| ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT – STATE AGENCIES * | | | | |
|--|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| | FY 2011-12 | | FY 2012-13 | |
| | EXPENDITURES | REVENUE | EXPENDITURES | REVENUE |
| GENERAL FUNDS | | | | |
| CASH FUNDS | | | | |
| FEDERAL FUNDS | | | | |
| OTHER FUNDS | | | | |
| TOTAL FUNDS | | | | |

*Does not include any impact on political subdivisions. See narrative for political subdivision estimates.

This bill would change provisions relating to certain medical evidence.

The Supreme Court states that this bill has the potential of decreasing the length of some trials. Any impact on judicial resources would be very difficult to estimate, but is not expected to be significant.

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

| | | | |
|--|------------|---------|----------------|
| REVIEWED BY | Joe Wilcox | 2/11/11 | PHONE 471-2526 |
| COMMENTS | | | |
| SUPREME COURT – No basis to dispute agency analysis. | | | |

RECEIVED

2011

FEB 10 2011

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL

Please complete ALL (5) blanks in the first three lines.

LB⁽¹⁾ 694 FISCAL NOTE

State Agency OR Political Subdivision Name: ⁽²⁾ Supreme Court

Prepared by: ⁽³⁾ Eric Asboe Date Prepared: ⁽⁴⁾ 2/9/11 Phone: ⁽⁵⁾ 1-4138

ESTIMATE PROVIDED BY STATE AGENCY OR POLITICAL SUBDIVISION

| | FY 2011-2012 | | FY 2012-2013 | |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|
| | <u>EXPENDITURES</u> | <u>REVENUE</u> | <u>EXPENDITURES</u> | <u>REVENUE</u> |
| GENERAL FUNDS | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| CASH FUNDS | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| FEDERAL FUNDS | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| OTHER FUNDS | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| TOTAL FUNDS | ===== | ===== | ===== | ===== |

Return by date specified or 72 hours prior to public hearing, whichever is earlier.

Explanation of Estimate:

LB 694 changes the rules of medical evidence which has the potential of decreasing the length of some trials. Any effect on judicial resources would be very difficult to estimate, but is not expected to be significant.

MAJOR OBJECTS OF EXPENDITURE

Personal Services:

| POSITION TITLE | NUMBER OF POSITIONS | | 2011-2012 <u>EXPENDITURES</u> | 2012-2013 <u>EXPENDITURES</u> |
|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | <u>11-12</u> | <u>12-13</u> | | |
| _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Benefits..... | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Operating..... | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Travel..... | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Capital outlay..... | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Aid..... | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Capital improvements..... | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| TOTAL..... | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |