Doug Nichols February 9, 2011 471-0052

Revision: 00

FISCAL NOTE

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT – STATE AGENCIES *							
	FY 2011-12		FY 2012-13				
_	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE			
GENERAL FUNDS	(162,481)		0				
CASH FUNDS	(5,600)		4,400				
FEDERAL FUNDS							
OTHER FUNDS							
TOTAL FUNDS	(168,081)		4,400				

^{*}Does not include any impact on political subdivisions. See narrative for political subdivision estimates.

This bill would change court fees, procedures, offices, and judgeships.

This bill delays the District Court Judgeship in Lancaster County from July 1, 2011, to July 1, 2012. This will delay expenditures for this judgeship until FY2012-13. The fiscal impact was calculated as follows:

	FY2011-2012	FY2012-2013
ITEMS	Appropriation	
Appropriations Committee Preliminary Recommendation:		
Additional District Court Judge		
Salary and Benefits, Pgm 6 (Salary = \$132,053)	162,481	162,760
Travel and Conference Expenses, Pgm 52	4,700	1,200
Laptop, Pgm 570	900	0
TOTAL	168,081	163,960
By Fund Source:		
General	162,481	162,760
Cash	5,600	1,200
TOTAL	168,081	163,960
LB451:		
Additional District Court Judge (July 1, 2012)		
Salary and Benefits, Pgm 6	0	162,760
Travel and Conference Expenses, Pgm 52	0	4,700
Laptop, Pgm 570	0	900
TOTAL	0	168,360
By Fund Source:		
General	0	162,760
Cash	0	5,600
TOTAL	0	168,360
Difference:		
By Fund Source:		
General	(162,481)	0
Cash	(5,600)	4,400
TOTAL	(168,081)	4,400

Table notes:

[&]quot;Appropriations Committee Preliminary Recommendation". This is the amount currently in the budget for the additional district court judge. The reason this amount is in the Preliminary Recommendation is because it is current law (LB35, 2009).

[&]quot;Salary and Benefits, Pgm 6". The reason FY2012-2013 is higher is because of the increase in FICA maximum taxable wage base.

The Supreme Court's response follows:

Section 2 of LB 451 delays implementation of an additional district court judge in the third judicial district by one fiscal year. Based on the fiscal note for LB 35, 2009 that established this judicial position, the fiscal impact in FY12-13 would be approximately \$240,200 General, \$4,700 Cash.

[Legislative Fiscal Office analyst (LFO) note: The General Fund appropriation noted here included funding for a Court Reporter which was not in the Supreme Court's budget request and consequently was not included in the Appropriations Committee Preliminary Recommendation.]

Section 12 states that a court may enter a decree of dissolution of a marriage without a hearing upon certain conditions being met. This may reduce the number of hearings needed and judicial workload, however, any impact is not estimated to be significant.

Several sections of LB 451 authorize the Supreme Court to take certain actions such as use non-General Funds for interpreter services, allow a county or district court to process the workload of the other court, and appoint judicial hearing officers. The authorization does not create a fiscal impact. However, depending upon which options were implemented, expenditures from General and non-General fund sources could increase or decrease. At this time, it is not possible to estimate the fiscal impact.

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

REVIEWED BY Joe Wilcox DATE 2/10/11 PHONE 471-2526

COMMENTS

SUPREME COURT: The Supreme Court Budget Request Issue for an additional District Judge in FY 2011-12 reflects a cost of \$168,081 for salaries, benefits and operating costs for the new judge (\$162,481 General Funds, \$5,600 Cash Funds). This would be the savings if the additional judge were not added until FY 2012-13. The agency does not make an estimate of he impact of other actions to reduce General Funds. like using cash for Interpreter Services.

Please complete ALL (5) blanks in the first three lines.

RECEIVED FEB 0 9 2011

Capital improvements.....

POSITION TITLE 11-12 12-13 EXPENDITURES Benefits Operating Capital outlay	LB" 451	FISCAL NOTE		wEG(Sin)	Music and
ESTIMATE PROVIDED BY STATE AGENCY OR POLITICAL SUBDIVISION FY 2011-2012 EXPENDITURES REVENUE EXPENDITURES REVENUE GENERAL FUNDS CASH FUNDS CASH FUNDS OTHER FUNDS OTHER FUNDS OTHER FUNDS TOTAL FUNDS Return by date specified or 72 hours prior to public hearing, whichever is earlier. Explanation of Estimate: Section 2 of LB 451 delays implementation of an additional district court, judge in the third judicial district by one fiscal year. Based in the fiscal note for EB 35, 2090 that established this judicial position, the fiscal impact in FY12-13 would be approximately \$240,200 General, \$4,700 Cash. Section 12 states that a court may enter a decree of dissolution of a marriage without a hearing upon certain conditions being met. may reduce the number of hearings needed and judicial workhoad, however, any impact is not estimated to be significant. Several sections of LB 451 authorize the Supreme Court to take certain actions such as use non-General Funds for interpreter ser allow a county or district court to process the workload of the other court, and appoint judicial hearing officers. The authorization not create a fiscal impact. However, depending upon which options were implemented, expenditures from General and non-General fund sources could increase or decrease. At this time, it is not possible to estimate the fiscal impact. MAIOR OBJECTS OF EXPENDITURE Personal Services: NUMBER OF POSITIONS 2011-2012 2012-2013 EXPENDITURES	State Agency OR	Political Subdivision Na	me: (2) Supreme Court		18042
EXPENDITURES REVENUE EXPENDITURES REVENUE GENERAL FUNDS CASH FUNDS CASH FUNDS TOTAL FUNDS OTHER FUNDS TOTAL FUNDS TOTAL FUNDS Return by date specified or 72 hours prior to public hearing, whichever is earlier. Explanation of Estimate: Section 2 of 12 h 541 delays implementation of an additional district court judge in the third judicial district by one fiscal year. Bar on the fiscal note for LB 35, 2009 that established this judicial position, the fiscal impact in FY12-13 would be approximately \$240,200 General, \$4,700 Cash. Section 2 of 12 states that a court may enter a decree of dissolution of a marriage without a hearing upon certain conditions being met. may reduce the number of hearings needed and judicial workload, however, any impact is not estimated to be significant. Several sections of LB 451 authorize the Supreme Court to take certain actions such as use non-General Funds for interpreter servallow a county or district court to process the workload of the other court, and appoint judicial hearing officers. The authorization not create a fiscal impact. However, depending upon which options were implemented, expenditures from General and non-Gener fund sources could increase or decrease. At this time, it is not possible to estimate the fiscal impact. MAJOR OBJECTS OF EXPENDITURE Personal Services: NUMBER OF POSITIONS 2011-2012 2012-2013 EXPENDITURES EXPENDITURES Benefits. Operating. Travel. Capital outlay.	Prepared by: (3)	Eric Asboe	Date Prepared: (4)	2/7/11	Phone: (5) 1-4138
EXPENDITURES REVENUE GENERAL FUNDS CASH FUNDS OTHER PUNDS OTHER PUNDS TOTAL FUNDS TOTAL FUNDS Return by date specified or 72 hours prior to public hearing, whichever is earlier. Explanation of Estimate: Section 2 of LB 451 delays implementation of an additional district court judge in the third judicial district by one fiscal year. Bar on the fiscal note for LB 35, 2009 that established this judicial position, the fiscal impact in FY12-13 would be approximately \$240,200 General, \$4,700 Cash. Section 12 states that a court may enter a decree of dissolution of a marriage without a hearing upon certain conditions being met. may reduce the number of hearings needed and judicial workload, however, any impact is not estimated to be significant. Several sections of LB 451 authorize the Supreme Court to take certain actions such as use non-General Funds for interpreter servallow a county or district court to process the workload of the other court, and appoint judicial hearing officers. The authorization not create a fiscal impact. However, depending upon which options were implemented, expenditures from General and non-Gener fund sources could increase or decrease. At this time, it is not possible to estimate the fiscal impact. MAIOR ORIECTS OF EXPENDITURE Personal Services: NUMBER OF POSITIONS 2011-2012 2012-2013 POSITION TITLE NUMBER OF POSITIONS 2011-2012 EXPENDITURES EXPENDITURES Benefits		ESTIMATE PRO	OVIDED BY STATE AGEN	CY OR POLITICAL S	UBDIVISION
CASH FUNDS FEDERAL FUNDS OTHER FUNDS TOTAL FUNDS Return by date specified or 72 hours prior to public hearing, whichever is earlier. Explanation of Estimate: Section 2 of LB 451 delays implementation of an additional district court judge in the third judicial district by one fiscal year. Bar on the fiscal note for LB 35, 2009 that established this judicial position, the fiscal impact in FY12-13 would be approximately \$240,200 General, \$4,700 Cash. Section 12 states that a court may enter a decree of dissolution of a marriage without a hearing upon certain conditions being met. may reduce the number of hearings needed and judicial workload, however, any impact is not estimated to be significant. Several sections of LB 451 authorize the Supreme Court to take certain actions such as use non-General Funds for interpreter ser allow a country or district court to process the workload of the other court, and appoint judicial hearing officers. The authorization not create a fiscal impact. However, depending upon which options were implemented, expenditures from General and non-General fund sources could increase or decrease. At this time, it is not possible to estimate the fiscal impact. MAIOR OBJECTS OF EXPENDITURE Personal Services: MAIOR OBJECTS OF EXPENDITURE Personal Services: NUMBER OF POSITIONS 2011-2012 2012-2013 2012-2013 2012-2013 2012-2013 2012-2014 2012-2015 2012-2016 2012-2017 20					
FEDERAL FUNDS OTHER FUNDS TOTAL FUNDS Return by date specified or 72 hours prior to public hearing, whichever is earlier. Explanation of Estimate: Section 2 of LB 451 delays implementation of an additional district court judge in the third judicial district by one fiscal year. Bao in the fiscal note for LB 35, 2009 that established this judicial position, the fiscal impact in FY12-13 would be approximately \$240,200 General, \$4,700 Cash. Section 12 states that a court may enter a decree of dissolution of a marriage without a hearing upon certain conditions being met. may reduce the number of hearings needed and judicial workload, however, any impact is not estimated to be significant. Several sections of LB 451 authorize the Supreme Court to take certain actions such as use non-General Funds for interpreter servallow a county or district court to process the workload of the other court, and appoint judicial hearing officers. The authorization ont create a fiscal impact however, depending upon which options were implemented, expenditures from General and non-General fund sources could increase or decrease. At this time, it is not possible to estimate the fiscal impact. MAIOR OBJECTS OF EXPENDITURE Personal Services: NUMBER OF POSITIONS 2011-2012 2012-2013 POSITION TITLE 11-12 12-13 EXPENDITURES EXPENDITURES Benefits. Operating. Travel. Capital outlay.	GENERAL FUNI	OS			
OTHER FUNDS TOTAL FUNDS Return by date specified or 72 hours prior to public hearing, whichever is earlier. Explanation of Estimate: Section 2 of LB 451 delays implementation of an additional district court judge in the third judicial district by one fiscal year. Bar on the fiscal note for LB 35, 2009 that established this judicial position, the fiscal impact in FY12-13 would be approximately \$240,200 General, \$4,700 Cash. Section 12 states that a court may enter a decree of dissolution of a marriage without a hearing upon certain conditions being met may reduce the number of hearings needed and judicial workload, however, any impact is not estimated to be significant. Several sections of LB 451 authorize the Supreme Court to take certain actions such as use non-General Funds for interpreter servallow a county or district court to process the workload of the other court, and appoint judicial hearing officers. The authorization not create a fiscal impact. However, depending upon which options were implemented, expenditures from General and non-General fund sources could increase or decrease. At this time, it is not possible to estimate the fiscal impact. MAJOR OBJECTS OF EXPENDITURE Personal Services: NUMBER OF POSITIONS 2011-2012 2012-2013 EXPENDITURES EXPENDITURES Benefits. Operating. Fravel. Capital outlay.	CASH FUNDS				
Return by date specified or 72 hours prior to public hearing, whichever is earlier. Explanation of Estimate: Section 2 of LB 451 delays implementation of an additional district court judge in the third judicial district by one fiscal year. Bar on the fiscal note for LB 35, 2009 that established this judicial position, the fiscal impact in FY12-13 would be approximately \$240,200 General, \$4,700 Cash. Section 12 states that a court may enter a decree of dissolution of a marriage without a hearing upon certain conditions being met. may reduce the number of hearings needed and judicial workload, however, any impact is not estimated to be significant. Several sections of LB 451 authorize the Supreme Court to take certain actions such as use non-General Funds for interpreter servallow a county or district court to process the workload of the other court, and appoint judicial hearing officers. The authorization not create a fiscal impact. However, depending upon which options were implemented, expenditures from General and non-General fund sources could increase or decrease. At this time, it is not possible to estimate the fiscal impact. MAJOR OBJECTS OF EXPENDITURE Personal Services: NUMBER OF POSITIONS 2011-2012 2012-2013 POSITION TITLE 11-12 12-13 EXPENDITURES EXPENDITURES EXPENDITURES Benefits. Operating. Fravel. Capital outlay.	FEDERAL FUND	os ———		2 7	
Return by date specified or 72 hours prior to public hearing, whichever is earlier. Explanation of Estimate: Section 2 of LB 451 delays implementation of an additional district court judge in the third judicial district by one fiscal year. Bar on the fiscal note for LB 35, 2009 that established this judicial position, the fiscal impact in FY12-13 would be approximately \$240,200 General, \$4,700 Cash. Section 12 states that a court may enter a decree of dissolution of a marriage without a hearing upon certain conditions being met. may reduce the number of hearings needed and judicial workload, however, any impact is not estimated to be significant. Several sections of LB 451 authorize the Supreme Court to take certain actions such as use non-General Funds for interpreter ser allow a country or district court to process the workload of the other court, and appoint judicial hearing officers. The authorization not create a fiscal impact. However, depending upon which options were implemented, expenditures from General and non-General fund sources could increase or decrease. At this time, it is not possible to estimate the fiscal impact. MAIOR OBJECTS OF EXPENDITURE Personal Services: NUMBER OF POSITIONS 2011-2012 2012-2013 POSITION TITLE 11-12 12-13 EXPENDITURES EXPENDITURES Benefits. Operating	OTHER FUNDS				
Explanation of Estimate: Section 2 of LB 451 delays implementation of an additional district court judge in the third judicial district by one fiscal year. Bas on the fiscal note for LB 35, 2009 that established this judicial position, the fiscal impact in FY12-13 would be approximately \$240,200 General, \$4,700 Cash. Section 12 states that a court may enter a decree of dissolution of a marriage without a hearing upon certain conditions being met. may reduce the number of hearings needed and judicial workload, however, any impact is not estimated to be significant. Several sections of LB 451 authorize the Supreme Court to take certain actions such as use non-General Funds for interpreter servallow a county or district court to process the workload of the other court, and appoint judicial hearing officers. The authorization not create a fiscal impact. However, depending upon which options were implemented, expenditures from General and non-General fund sources could increase or decrease. At this time, it is not possible to estimate the fiscal impact. MAIOR OBJECTS OF EXPENDITURE Personal Services: NUMBER OF POSITIONS 2011-2012 2012-2013 POSITION TITLE 11-12 12-13 EXPENDITURES EXPENDITURES Benefits. Operating	TOTAL FUNDS				
Explanation of Estimate: Section 2 of LB 451 delays implementation of an additional district court judge in the third judicial district by one fiscal year. Bas on the fiscal note for LB 35, 2009 that established this judicial position, the fiscal impact in FY12-13 would be approximately \$240,200 General, \$4,700 Cash. Section 12 states that a court may enter a decree of dissolution of a marriage without a hearing upon certain conditions being met. may reduce the number of hearings needed and judicial workload, however, any impact is not estimated to be significant. Several sections of LB 451 authorize the Supreme Court to take certain actions such as use non-General Funds for interpreter servallow a county or district court to process the workload of the other court, and appoint judicial hearing officers. The authorization not create a fiscal impact. However, depending upon which options were implemented, expenditures from General and non-General fund sources could increase or decrease. At this time, it is not possible to estimate the fiscal impact. MAIOR OBJECTS OF EXPENDITURE Personal Services: NUMBER OF POSITIONS 2011-2012 2012-2013 POSITION TITLE 11-12 12-13 EXPENDITURES EXPENDITURES Benefits. Operating			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
POSITION TITLE 11-12 12-13 EXPENDITURES EXPENDITURE Benefits	may reduce the nun Several sections of allow a county or d not create a fiscal in	nber of hearings needed an LB 451 authorize the Supristrict court to process the mpact. However, depending increase or decrease. At the	reme Court to take certain act workload of the other court, and upon which options were in his time, it is not possible to es	, any impact is not estimations such as use non-Gen- and appoint judicial hearing plemented, expenditures stimate the fiscal impact.	ated to be significant. eral Funds for interpreter service ng officers. The authorization do
POSITION TITLE 11-12 12-13 EXPENDITURES EXPENDITURE Benefits	Personal Services:				
Operating	POSITI	ON TITLE			
Operating					
Travel					
Capital outlay			•		
Aid					