Doug Nichols February 24, 2011 471-0052 **LB 349**

Revision: 00

FISCAL NOTE

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT – STATE AGENCIES *						
	FY 2011-12		FY 2012-13			
_	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE		
GENERAL FUNDS						
CASH FUNDS						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER FUNDS						
TOTAL FUNDS						

^{*}Does not include any impact on political subdivisions. See narrative for political subdivision estimates.

This bill would change demand for trial by jury provisions.

There does not appear to be a fiscal impact to the state or political subdivisions from the provisions of this bill.

The Supreme Court estimates no fiscal impact from the provisions of this bill.

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

REVIEWED BY Joe Wilcox DATE 2/18/11 PHONE 471-2526

COMMENTS

SUPREME COURT: No basis to dispute agency analysis for the Supreme Court.

Please complete ALL (5) blanks in the first three lines.

FEB 1 8 2011

LB	349	FISC#	AL N	JOTE
	.349		1 1	

LEGISLATIVE BISCAR

		DEGISEALI	VE FISCAL	
State Agency OR Political Subdivision Name: (2	Supreme Court			
Prepared by: (3) Eric Asboe	Date Prepared: (4)	2/16/11	Phone: (5) 1-4138	
ESTIMATE PROVID	ED BY STATE AGEN	CY OR POLITICAL S	SUBDIVISION	
FY 20	FY 2011-2012 FY 2012-2013			
EXPENDITURES	REVENUE	EXPENDITUR		
GENERAL FUNDS				
CASH FUNDS				
FEDERAL FUNDS				
OTHER FUNDS				
TOTAL FUNDS				
			-	
Return by date specified or 72 hours prior to pu Explanation of Estimate:	<u>blic hearing, whicheve</u>	<u>r is earlier.</u>		
No fiscal impact.				

	MA IOR OBJE	CTS OF EXPENI	DITURE	
Personal Services:	THE COLUMN	CIS OF EMPER	JITOKE	
POSITION TITLE	NUMBER OF <u>11-12</u>	F POSITIONS <u>12-13</u>	2011-2012 EXPENDITURES	2012-2013 EXPENDITURES
Benefits	•			
Operating				
Travel				
Capital outlay				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Aid				
Capital improvements	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TOTAL				