

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 12, 2006 LR 449

nothing to do with the Legislature. So there are two distinct acts here involving two distinct branches of government. The Legislature charges and has the burden of proving, by clear and convincing evidence, at least one of the charges, and the court conducts a trial in accordance with judicial proceedings. Senator Bourne had talked about the most egregious of circumstances. When you have a man who has committed multiple violations of criminal laws, who ought to have been indicted and prosecuted criminally, except that he was granted immunity by an administrative agency, could not be more soiled. To stand on this floor and tell the public that when, either through admissions by Mr. Hergert, findings in an official criminal investigation of Mr. Hergert, or relying on unimpeachable documentary evidence that he violated the law repeatedly, but the Legislature thinks that such a person ought to stay in office because he is representing Nebraska's view of what an official, who is bound to be ethical, to manifest integrity, to be incorruptible, would be, if our students committed actions less egregious than this, they'd be out of the university. There is no way the university would say, as Senator Bourne is suggesting, that if a student is caught cheating, a professor has plagiarized, then if you tell them, yeah, you did this and you shouldn't do it again, but you keep your job; you tell the student, you should not have done this, but you keep the grade that you obtained through cheating and you remain a member of the university community in good standing. Why in the world are we going to let somebody tell us, and we accept it or be expected to accept it, that a person who violated the criminal law, committed fraud in seeking an office, is going to be allowed to escape the consequences of his actions and the Legislature not present this abundance of law violations to the court? That is something I cannot agree with. Senator Bourne acknowledged something that Mr. Mock did not touch at all, but I have emphasized it, that the purpose of impeachment is to cleanse the office by removing an unfit person. Even when he is removed,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...as I believe he will be, it is not a punishment. There is no fine; there's no incarceration, but a