

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 12, 2006 LR 449

calling on Mr. Hergert to resign. At that time we did not have detailed information of his numerous, and in some cases repeated, violations of the law. Yet enough was made available to encourage an overwhelming number of the legislators to vote for that resolution calling for his resignation. It would indeed be ironic and difficult for the public to understand if the Legislature would officially call for his resignation, then fail to take action to remove him from office, since we had declared him to be unfit to hold it. We know there will be no prosecution, because the Accountability and Disclosure Commission entered a settlement, and as a part of it they promised no prosecution. The Executive Board received that resolution. It appointed a committee chaired by Senator Bourne, who is also Chair of the Judiciary Committee. Mr. Mock was retained as the attorney. His conclusion was that the Legislature ought not to impeach, and felt that the court would not convict Mr. Hergert, despite the numerous violations and the fact that one of them occurred undoubtedly after he had taken the oath of office. He had filed a false and misleading document. Mr. Mock's advice, his conclusions, were not accepted by a majority of the committee, which voted to refer a recommendation to the Executive Board, a recommendation that articles of impeachment be referred to the full Legislature. Mr. Mock had not covered certain issues or analyzed them, including violation of the oath, false statements made by Mr. Hergert to the State Patrol during the investigation, mail fraud, and concluded that it was no part of the duty and office of a Regent to obey the law. That was Mr. Mock's conclusion, which a majority of the committee did not accept. That recommendation from the committee was referred to the Exec Board, which appointed a four-person subcommittee with the charge of retaining a lawyer--his name is David Domina--and the charge was to draft articles of impeachment which would be brought before the Exec Board for ratification. That was done, and by a vote of 5 to 3, the Exec Board adopted the articles and they are signed, this resolution, by the five members who voted for impeachment. There are some items that I know I'm not going to have time to cover now, but I should make it clear that there is a lower standard of proof required to obtain a conviction before the Nebraska Supreme Court than was the case in the Douglas matter. So the next time I have an opportunity to