

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 11, 2006 LB 1024

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Yes, I could have.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But you weren't worried about what you didn't understand at that time, were you?

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Well, Senator Chambers, given the...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, I don't want you to take all my time. I'll ask it a different way. Even though you didn't understand it, you chose not to ask for a division and a section-by-section analysis so that you might be able to understand it. That is correct, isn't it? Well, you didn't ask for a division of...

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: No, no, no.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...the question, right?

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Senator Chambers, if my memory serves me correctly, we never did get to the committee amendments in and of themselves. We were considering amendments to the committee amendments. There were well over 28 of them.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But, Senator Synowiecki, you know that you could have asked for a division of the question on the committee amendments. You knew that, didn't you? But it didn't occur to you, did it?

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Well, Senator Chambers, I think...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's all right. Thank you, Senator Synowiecki.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: ...AM3258 represents that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Synowiecki, I won't let you take all my time. That's the only reason I'm doing it like this because we get to speak only once. Members of the Legislature, I explained what is in this amendment. All of it except for Senator Heidemann's amendment and mine was debated, voted on, and advanced. Questions were asked and every approach that somebody wanted to take had been taken. So despite the