

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 11, 2006 LB 924

believe, the amendment, but I am going to vote for the bill.
Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Flood. Senator Synowiecki, on the Redfield amendment.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you. Senator...I appreciate Senator Redfield bringing this. I support the amendment, first of all. But second of all, Senator Redfield, would you submit to a question, please?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Redfield, would you submit to a question?

SENATOR REDFIELD: Yes.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Senator Redfield, Senator Fischer's underlying bill scope is agricultural land only. Can you inform me relative to your amendment, will this have any impact in nonagricultural land?

SENATOR REDFIELD: Senator, the section that it references is actually talking about where eminent domain is exercised in projects that involve economic development, so that would not have a geographical location.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you, Senator Redfield, because I...quite frankly, I don't think Senator Fischer's bill does much for private property rights in our state. And I'll tell you, all the cities will do now that have agricultural land within their geographic jurisdiction is simply rezone it, and then go through the eminent domain procedures and just add another hurdle to that procedure, to rezone that property. So I'm not convinced at all that Senator Fischer's bill...obviously won't help any of my constituents or Senator Redfield's constituents, given that there's no agricultural land in our districts, and there was pretty pervasive testimony with the...the Judiciary Committee heard, I believe it was three eminent domain bills on the same day. And there was quite compelling testimony, particularly testimony from one of my constituents, who Senator Flood mentioned by name, that you