

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 11, 2006 LB 924

all of them a public purpose of one type or another. Economic development can be a public purpose. So it indicates that in that kind of a situation, the prohibition is for...would apply to those projects where primarily it's an economic development purpose, and that's all the amendment does. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. You've heard the opening. Open for discussion. Senator Fischer.

SENATOR FISCHER: Thank you, Mr. President and members. As Senator Beutler said, we did discuss both of his amendments, and I do not have a problem with either of them. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Fischer. Senator Baker.

SENATOR BAKER: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I have a question for Senator Fischer, very brief, if she'd respond, please.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Fischer, please?

SENATOR FISCHER: Yes.

SENATOR BAKER: I think you mentioned this on General File, but I do want to make sure it's in the record. I'm referring now to page 2 of your AM2521, public projects. This section does not affect the use of eminent domain for public projects. So right-of-ways and things like that, I assume are not affected by this bill. That's all I wanted to know. Your intention was roads, right-of-ways, things like that, are not affected?

SENATOR FISCHER: That's correct, Senator Baker. On 3(a) on page 2, when it talks about what I call the traditional uses of eminent domain, such as roads, right-of-ways, utilities, railroads, pipelines, hospitals, schools, things like that, this does not in any way interfere with those uses, current uses of eminent domain that I believe are appropriate.

SENATOR BAKER: Okay. That answers my question, and thank you, Senator Fischer. And that concludes my questions, Senator Cudaback. Thank you.