

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 11, 2006      LB 1113

that's important to me, and I know it's important to the state, and I know it's important to the prosecutors across this state, because if we have one person not get convicted on the basis of the reliability of the evidence in the state crime lab's work, that is tragic. Also, in this bill I have...actually, I have three of my bills in this committee priority bill. We have a provision in here that allows police departments to get administrative subpoenas from places like...from businesses like Yahoo! and Google, so when you're tracking down a sex predator that's using the Internet to contact a victim, you can find out where they are at, without having to get a search warrant, by simply going to your county attorney as an investigator, and asking the county attorney to get the judge to sign an administrative subpoena. That is important in our fight against these sexual predators that are preying on our children, when it comes to law enforcement. This is a very important bill from my standpoint. I also have a small provision in here that helps protect people's identities in court pleadings; specifically, divorce cases. But that is certainly overshadowed by the need to stand back, let this bill go forward. The State Patrol needs this, the crime lab needs this, prosecutors need this, police need this, and the safety of our citizens requires this. So please let this bill go forward. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Flood. Senator Connealy.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Thank you, Mr. President and members. There's a...you know, my brother is on that Papio NRD board and was involved in this Bennington Dam, so I wanted to make sure you know that, in the first place. But I think it really has to do a lot with the proposed dams in Washington County, and I'm not necessarily in support of those dams, because I think the process isn't working well. So I'd like to divide those two. But I truly think that the dam in Douglas County that was built was a good use of funds, and if this would stop that kind of use of funds, then I have some questions with it. This \$20 million project would have been built, no matter whether the NRD was involved or not, my understanding is. It does have some public access. It has a fishing dock that's public access, and a trail that goes around it that has public access. So there is some of that now. What they did was they actually raised the dam higher