## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

April 11, 2006 LR 272

correct, this would be saying that the property does not have to be blighted, that it can be taken without being blighted.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Well, it doesn't expand any taking or any eminent domain powers at all. It says that the redevelopment and development tool could be changed in application by a Legislature in the future, within our powers, because of the fact that we've seen questionable uses of it, and expanded uses in some areas that may not fit the constitution.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Let me start out a step at a time, because I'm still not understanding. Right now, Omaha, and maybe other cities have done it, will put the designation "blighted" on property which is not what the ordinary person would understand to be blighted property. It's habitable, it's not ramshackle, there are no building code violations. But because some developer wants that, they will put a "blighted" tag on it, so that it can be taken as blighted property. Are you aware of that happening now?

SENATOR CONNEALY: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, why, then, are you going to say that it's not necessary for the property to be blighted in order to be taken?

SENATOR CONNEALY: We...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Wouldn't it be better to say that it's necessary that the property in fact be blighted before it can be taken?

SENATOR CONNEALY: That's exactly what we can say now,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CONNEALY: ...because of the fact that now it will be regulated by the Legislature. It was a city power, given through a constitutional amendment, under their purview and their determination. It didn't come back to us.