

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 11, 2006 LB 1024

night, that if a bill does not pass that does not change this process, that somebody on either side will claim victory, and this will again be the "winner take all" philosophy. It's my understanding that some of the folks from OPS claimed victory that we didn't advance the bill last night. So we really haven't gained anything, as far as I understand it. But I would be interested to see what is that alternative. Visiting with Senator Raikes, his thought is LB 1024 is that alternative. I'm not convinced, at least at this point, that it is appropriate for me to vote for a law that I don't fully intend to be part of law, for whatever period. I don't think that it should be a process that we just pick a bill and say, we're going to do this temporarily. We should be sincere about the laws that we pass, or we shouldn't put them in the books, and if this isn't the answer, then I would hope that we could come up with something that balances those ideas. What is before us is unique. I think it was once said that if you continue to do the same thing over and over and expect a different result, that could be considered insanity. We've adopted the Chambers amendment, 33 to 6. We had a motion to reconsider the Chambers amendment that failed 9 to 31, and what's before us again is an amendment to take out the Chambers amendment and to report back to us on what the learning community is doing. And there are provisions of reporting and processes that Senator Heidemann has just pointed out that they're already going to have to do. So we're going to do this again, and we're going to spend more time on discussing this amendment. Again, let's go back to what it is that we're trying to accomplish. And the idea of a special session is so unique to me. I would appreciate the opportunity for someone--thank you, Senator Kruse--I would appreciate the opportunity for someone to show how we do that, notwithstanding the fact that the way we call ourselves into special is to have 40 people vote to extend the session, have the Speaker reschedule it. Otherwise, the Governor has got to call us back. So the idea that we can somehow create this opportunity for a special session is lost on me, unless someone can point me to the proper language that shows that we have that explicit authority. We have to create heartburn. But unlike what happened last year, I'm not interested in tearing the heart apart. I'm not interested in that. I'm not interested in sticking it to OPS, I'm not interested in sticking it to the