

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 10, 2006 LB 1024

SENATOR RAIKES: Right.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: And if you wanted to not go that direction, you'd have to come back and introduce more legislation and undo it.

SENATOR RAIKES: That's right.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay.

SENATOR RAIKES: There's nothing here that...in the way of any district boundaries other than where they are right now, that could not...or that would go into effect before the end of the next legislative session.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Well, I'm sort of moved by your argument because I think that, yes, indeed, we could come back, but what it would do is it would keep people's feet to the fire and we would perhaps get some movement on this whole issue, and people would have to sit down and talk to each other. So I'm just going back and forth on this, and I don't know what the right thing to do is, but I think your argument was very convincing and very good. So that's all I wanted to say.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Schimek. Senator Jensen, followed by Senator Cornett.

SENATOR JENSEN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. And, Senator Schimek, I fully agree and I really had the same feeling about what Senator Raikes was saying. You know, this whole process, though, just really upsets me. It upsets me because we have taken a community that was working together and we have torn it apart. And on top of that, we will spend well over \$1 million, maybe \$2 million, on attorneys and lobbyists that is not going to the kids. That upsets me. It upsets me that we're taking an 1891 law and the participants use it when they want to, and when they don't want to they don't use it, even when asked to use it. That upsets me. And then on top of that we have the threat of a lawsuit that if we don't do