

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 10, 2006 LB 1024

Raikes' explanation, it wouldn't matter if the Chambers amendment was on here. I mean, maybe I'm misspeaking about what he really said, and I'd like to ask Senator Raikes a question or two, if I could.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Raikes, would you respond?

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Raikes, I was just extolling your virtue, so I hope that you think I'm coming at you with a friendly group of questions.

SENATOR RAIKES: I'll try to recover if not.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: You outlined four points that you wanted to make about the bill and why we should do it. One of the points was the boundaries would not change.

SENATOR RAIKES: Right.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: But if we have the Chambers amendment on the bill, that is a guide, the Chambers-Raikes amendment, I might say, that is a guide to what it will be in the future. It's a guide to this coordinating council. Is that correct?

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes. As a matter of fact, the Chambers amendment does, in fact, specify, as a matter of state statute, that the district would be divided.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: It...

SENATOR RAIKES: The learning community board is called upon to decide where the lines...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR RAIKES: ...go.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: It just wouldn't happen immediately.