

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 10, 2006 LB 1024

back to chop up on the lines of race here in Nebraska, and I don't see how we can do it. Earlier on in this bill, I was very interested and I was looking and I was asking questions of Senator Raikes on, you know, why should I be interested, this doesn't actually help my district, the underlying, but I am interested. We are...I think rural people are interested in what goes on in Omaha, and I laid some of the groundwork for that. But now I'm even less sure why we should be interested. What does this cost? I know it's going to change state aid formula somehow, and most likely add. We already had, underlying, over \$20 million cost on this, and from a rural perspective, even though we are interested in the third of the kids that live in the metropolitan area, why should we be interested in spending all this money and then a sure case of going to court on what I can see is an unconstitutional law? I think we ought to step back. I think we ought to say, whoa, we've gone too far. I am in support of the reconsideration motion.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Connealy. Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President. Would Senator Chambers yield to some questions?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, would you yield?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I will.

SENATOR SMITH: Senator Chambers, we've been hearing about boundaries and segregation. What...I mean, in your amendment is there any establishment of boundaries...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No.

SENATOR SMITH: ...dictated in the law or in...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No.

SENATOR SMITH: ...the amendment?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No.