

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 6, 2006

LB 1024

her bill after it was introduced. I don't understand how this is not a state-based action to encourage or increase segregation, but I do like to hear the other side of the story. If Senator Chambers would like the balance of my time, I would be happy to hear what he has to say. Tell me why this is not state-based segregation.

SENATOR BAKER: Senator Chambers, you have 2 minutes, 10 seconds.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. And thank you very much, Senator Bourne. First of all, the segregation that Senator Lindsay, who is the lobbyist for OPS, is trying to raise as a "bugabear," or whatever they call it, at this point, that segregation was created by OPS, by Mackiel going back to neighborhood schools. That which Mackiel did was a state action, but Mackiel knows, as a lot of these administrators know who have started resegregating their school districts brazenly, that the federal courts have backed away from the decisions that they had given before, and a case that could have prevailed in the past on the basis of what Senator Bourne is discussing will not prevail now. There are integration plans that had been in place for generations, and integration has not yet occurred, but those plans have been dissolved by federal courts, even though the same segregation exists now which did at the beginning. And that is the argument that is given in court by those who obtain the implementation of the programs. They will say the reason for implementing this program, and in some cases a court imposed it, that reason continues to exist. The segregation and discrimination are still there, but the...

SENATOR BAKER: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...courts say, no, it's been there enough time and if it's not going to resolve it by now it's going to be dissolved, and they have dissolved them. The Milliken case means really nothing as far as what we're talking about here, because the state is not drawing any boundaries which say...which boundaries would enclose only certain groups. We are accepting attendance areas established by OPS. If those attendance areas are not violative of the federal law now, they