

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 6, 2006

LB 1024

take it into federal court, and I could kick OPS' rump in terms of how they're messing over these other children. At OPS, and their lobbyists will try to represent their position, they know that there are so many minority children in their school system that more federal money is drawn by those students, more state money, because they can put so many of those students in special education and double up on what they get in state aid. That's the only thing OPS sees of value in children of my complexion, cash cows. But I had a couple of things I wanted to be sure and touch on. Somebody is running around here talking, from OPS, that what I'm saying should be done is unconstitutional. How is it unconstitutional? They will say, well, there will be a white district here, Latino district with some white people in south Omaha, and then the black district. I say, well, that's the way it is now. How can you tell where these different groups live if that's not the way it is right now? And if it's not unconstitutional right now while white people control everything, how does it suddenly become unconstitutional because black people simply want to say it's going to be a different sheriff in town? White people have established the segregation. Mackiel and his minions are the ones who wanted to go back to what they called the neighborhood schools. They will argue that the schools are segregated because neighborhood patterns are segregated, residential patterns. Therefore, the schools are not guilty of unconstitutional segregation. Well, if the segregation that exists now which results in discrimination against children at the hands of the white school administrators, if it's not unconstitutional now, how does it become unconstitutional when we, as black people, say we're going to leave the divisions right where you have placed them? We're going to leave the attendance districts that you have established in place. The only thing we're changing is control. Then you hear them hollering, jumping up and down, that's unconstitutional. Well, when I would tell them that an argument could be made that the existing segregation could be unconstitutional, they defended it and said, no, it's not. So don't you all accept all that BS. A lobbyist is paid to say what they tell him to say, and I'm talking about John Lindsay and some of the others now. I'm going to tell you what the problem is. All this talk of integration was a misplaced approach settled on by white people who felt they know better