

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 5, 2006

LB 925

heartache that occurs when people drive under the influence of alcohol. It is amazing to me that people will drive without a license, but we know that they do. It is amazing to me that people will take a chance and drive when they are impaired, but we know that they do. And I think it behooves us as a policymaking body to find a way to find that interdiction point that Senator Synowiecki was referring to, something that comes between them and the vehicle that prevents them from driving when in fact they are impaired. I introduced a bill that was very similar to one Senator Pedersen had introduced last year that dealt with ignition interlock devices. I think we should be looking at technology. Clearly, it's not a benefit to the state of Nebraska when we have to bear the cost of imprisonment. It does not help their families when the wage-earner is behind bars. It does not help their families when the wage-earner can't drive themselves to work because they've lost their license. This is a societal problem, and in this modern age, I think that we are not taking advantage of the technology that's out there. Is it perfect? I don't know. But is it better than the alternative? I think so. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Redfield. Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I wanted to rise and respond to a couple of the things we've heard today. In response to Senator Redfield's question regarding the technology, I appreciate that. And I think if she would read the committee amendment, it talks about introducing continuous alcohol monitoring devices into our judicial system, probation system. So I think we are looking long-range. And I think, frankly, we're looking at areas other than DUI. I can see where these devices would be appropriate in regular probation when an individual's probation is...a part of the probation is no alcohol. I think eventually we will get to some sort of a continuous monitoring for our sex offenders. And I want...and so when we talk about technology, I wanted this technology introduced to the state, where it can be an option, or in addition to the ignition interlock device, that a continuous alcohol monitoring device may be ordered. So I think we are looking at technology, and I think Senator Redfield is right on, and I think that bill does that. I do want to talk about