

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 5, 2006

LB 925

adopt this, because as it stands now, it would put us in jeopardy for some highway safety funds. But the continuous alcohol monitoring device is new. Senator Bourne is correct in introducing it. It's an option, but we can't make it such that they can...it doesn't prohibit its use; we just can't substitute this for a vehicle interlock, ignition interlock device, which, the amendment clarifies that. They can still be used in various situations. Cost is still a factor. I understand that. Both...everyone is concerned there. But this does not change cost or anything else. It's an option that can be used, not necessarily to replace a vehicle...it won't replace a vehicle ignition interlock device. So with that, I certainly support Senator Bourne's amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Baker. Senator Dwite Pedersen.

SENATOR Dw. PEDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I want to make it clear that I'm very much supportive of ignition interlock. Ignition interlock saves us, the taxpayers across the board, a lot of money, a lot of jail bed time, because those people who can be on the ignition interlock, in general, do not abuse it. A small percent of them will actually drink and try and manipulate the system when they have ignition interlock. My experience has been that most of them who have been privileged to get the ignition interlock have done very well. They are happy to have it, and they follow the directions that they need to in order to keep it. So this...I'm not having a problem with the ignition interlock. The problem I'm having is we can't get it to more people. And I agree with Senator Synowiecki, there's part of this, if...the statement I think I hear more than anything else, if you can afford to drink, you can afford to pay the penalty. But when we're talking about a lot of young people and youth who are doing the drinking in their young twenties and sometimes a little older twenties, they don't mature emotionally, then we're looking at some different...a different situation. As I said, I'm not against the amendment. I just wanted to make sure that we talk about it and you understand where we're at, that I have some concerns about those who are...have the money can walk through it all right, and those who don't, you know, have to take jail