

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 5, 2006

LB 925

individual on probation if they're prohibited by cost from getting the machinery. But it's not a criteria to get probation.

SENATOR BOURNE: Correct.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: And like all other probationary services, whether it be drug testing, electronic monitoring, those costs, or at least a large portion of those costs, if not all, are relegated to the offender, again, because of the privilege of probation and the fact that they get to continue to engage their employment. You know, I'd like to see something that the system, which would ultimately be taxpayers, are not strapped with the cost of this program. Do you understand? I'm coming at it from a completely different perspective, I think, than Senator Pedersen.

SENATOR BOURNE: What I hear Senator Pedersen say, he's concerned that they wouldn't be able to afford this, whereas you, I hear you saying they should have to afford this.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: I...my feeling is, if you're afforded probation, and if that probation includes conditions of probation, then the offender ought to be responsible for payment for those community-based services.

SENATOR BOURNE: And I will tell you that I think the way that you are advocating for it is how it is...I know this is how it's set up now. The concern that Senator Pedersen addressed is not remedied in this bill, but yours is.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Synowiecki. Senator Baker.

SENATOR BAKER: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I think Senator Bourne just clarified my concerns. I understand Senator Pedersen's issue there, too, but this amendment, we need to