

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 5, 2006

LB 925

concerns. Number one, it clarifies that continuous alcohol monitoring devices may be...may only be ordered in addition to an ignition interlock device, rather than an alternative. This change addresses the concern raised by our Department of Roads. Number two, it limits the use of continuous alcohol monitoring to individuals placed on probation for a DUI conviction. This change strikes the reference in...references in the committee amendment to the Pardons Board having the authority to require continuous alcohol monitoring when granting a conditional commutation of a license revocation. Lastly, this amendment removes the responsibility to promulgate rules and regs for the use of continuous alcohol...excuse me, to promulgate rules and regs for the use of the continuous alcohol monitoring devices by probationers from the DMV, and places it with the Office of Probation Administration. The amendment is largely clarification, and in response to a couple of the questions that were addressed. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bourne. You've heard the opening on the Bourne amendment, AM3084. Open for discussion. Senator Dwite Pedersen, followed by Senator Synowiecki.

SENATOR Dw. PEDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. Senator Bourne, would you answer a question for me, please?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bourne, would you respond?

SENATOR BOURNE: I will try, Senator Pedersen.

SENATOR Dw. PEDERSEN: Yeah. Will this amendment open it up so the Probation Department can use any means of current or past type of equipment and/or test to monitor the use?

SENATOR BOURNE: Does this amendment open it up to that?

SENATOR Dw. PEDERSEN: Yes.

SENATOR BOURNE: No.

SENATOR Dw. PEDERSEN: It just gives them the authority to do