

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 4, 2006

LB 1249

admirable and accurate, if those goals were reality. But when he talks about putting territories on a district so they're...on the utilities districts so there's no duplicate pipes, again, you talk to the Public Service Commission, that issue was resolved with LB 78 that we passed a number of years ago, that has been referenced several times. Senator Landis also mentioned that you have to obtain permission from the PSC prior to placing pipes in the ground. That makes sense, too, absolutely makes sense. And I guess MUD agrees with that, because they now, according to them, send out letters to Aquila every time they go to place pipes in the ground in new areas and give...they, MUD, gives Aquila the opportunity to object to the PSC prior to the placement of those pipes. So I guess I just don't understand why there's a problem. My colleagues get up and they speak in support of the bill. We have to do something, we have to do something this year. The only person advocating doing something is Aquila. Is it an example of the political power and might that Aquila has? I don't know. I will tell you, Senator Landis, and I think he and I, if we're in agreement on nothing else, it's this. We're probably both sick and tired of the gas issue. You know, I'm tired of talking about it, I'm tired of dealing with it, I'm frankly--don't take offense either side--I'm tired of listening to MUD, I'm tired of listening to Aquila, I'm...it's old. And I'll tell you what, I could retire on the money that they have spent on lobbyists. But that doesn't mean we should adopt bad policy, just because they are pushing it politically. I don't agree with that. We have yet to hear...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...a compelling reason for a change in the law. Senator Landis articulates two points that if true, would be legitimate reasons to change the law. I have maps over here that are current, that show whether or not there's duplicate piping. Come look at them. It's not there. LB 78 solved the problem of duplicate piping. So why are we putting territories...putting these territories on these utilities? It doesn't make sense from a policy perspective. From a political perspective, it might make sense. But I do find it interesting that the only persons, or the only entity supporting this is