

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 4, 2006

LB 1249

past our extraterritorial jurisdiction,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR FRIEND: ...why should we have to do it under those circumstances, too? Within 90 days of the effective date of this act, jurisdictional utilities and metropolitan utilities districts provide detailed maps to the commission showing the location of pipelines and facilities as in existence on January 1, 2006. All right, look. We remove that, but what harm does that do, and what good does it do to have them? I haven't heard that yet. You show us maps. Look, I'm at a loss here, and I've had conversations with a lot of folks about this, and I have difficulty grasping the idea of how these maps are going to solve Aquila and MUD's problems. They continue on, folks. LB 1249 gets adopted without this amendment, possibly even with it, these problems go on. But I think that if we do that,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Friend.

SENATOR FRIEND: ...the PSC...sometimes we have answers that are people answers.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Friend.

SENATOR FRIEND: Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Friend. On with discussion. Senator Synowiecki.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you, Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature. I am not a member of the committee. I truly respect the work that Senator Friend and Senator Landis, members of the committee, have put into this. This debate is really a learning experience for me. I appreciate Senator Landis in indicating some of the favorable impacts of exclusive service territories for gas companies. You know, I think he's right. I think investors in these companies, in the private-based companies, benefit by having a defined asset. For regulation purposes I think there's an ease of logistics involved with