

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 4, 2006 LB 1024

says, but wait a minute. We didn't really mean it. So it's in court; it's in court right now. We have no business talking about it. The courts will decide whether or not preexisting written agreements can no longer be valid, and even if that isn't the case, if we should happen to pass LB 1024, then it's moot, anyway. And if we get to Select File, the amendment that I just asked to be filed on Select File will put the language back that agreements reached years past are binding, until so ordered by the court. But there's a bigger issue you need to be concerned about. This is not movement, as the city annexes. If you will read the amendment, it says the zoning jurisdiction of the city, which is two miles beyond. My goodness! What a problem it makes throughout the state. This is no longer Bellevue/Papillion, this could be LaVista saying, hey, I'm next to Millard. I'm a city. I can go out two miles further from my zoning and take that land from Millard. With a little creative... just a little creative zoning the cities are good at, the community of Gretna can say to Springfield, oh, by the way, that whole Sapp Brothers complex that brings you so much money is now within our two-mile zoning. We will take that. This amendment was brought to the Education Committee; it never advanced and I don't think it should advance now. Thank you very much.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Kopplin. Further discussion on the Cornett AM3033? Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Would Abbie...would Abbie, excuse me. Would Senator Cornett, my good friend Senator Cornett, yield to a question or two?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Cornett, would you yield?

SENATOR CORNETT: Yes.

SENATOR BOURNE: I apologize for that. Thank you.

SENATOR CORNETT: Oh, no apologies.

SENATOR BOURNE: This was the bill that we heard in the Education Committee on January 30; is that right?