

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 3, 2006

LB 924

sentiment to all of our citizens. And I, for one, have become aware of some pretty egregious things done, quite frankly, to individuals and to their home, to their business, and I just wish that we can look at, perhaps, on Select File, at least examine the possibility of recognizing the value of private property rights for all of our citizens and not exclusively for the agricultural based properties throughout our state. Thank you, Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Synowiecki. Further discussion? Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the body. Senator Fischer, I'd like to ask you a question. I have received a couple letters from people that were so concerned, and you mentioned also TIF a little bit, but I guess I'd like to say...ask you to repeat that again. And let me give you a scenario. If a...if a business was going to...it was a business that could skip annex, which says that it has to be an agricultural value-added industry that's not retail, say they would buy some property, a farmland, two miles from a city that was qualified for the skip annexation and they skip annexed it. Would there be any reason why they could not TIF that?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Fischer, would you yield?

SENATOR FISCHER: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Yes, I will. Senator Kremer, this bill only deals with eminent domain...

SENATOR KREMER: That's what...

SENATOR FISCHER: ...and, as such, if agricultural land is taken through the use of eminent domain, then it will not qualify for TIF funding. If it...if land, agricultural land, is purchased, then it will qualify for TIF funding.

SENATOR KREMER: Okay. But it would have to be skip...it would have to be annexed, because right now counties cannot TIF. Is that correct?

SENATOR FISCHER: It is my understanding that cities...