

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 3, 2006

LB 924

through the use of eminent domain under...I assume those are the broad eminent domain statutes, if the taking is for an economic development purpose. Do you intend that to mean is primarily for an economic development purpose? I mean, there turn out to be a lot of mixed rationales and mixed reasons for doing things. NRDs, for example, oftentimes develop things and have a flood control purpose and a recreation purpose and an urban economic development purpose. Is your intent that its primary purpose not be economic development?

SENATOR FISCHER: I would say that that's a fair question and my answer would be, yes, that it cannot be taken for economic development purposes if that's the prime reason, except for the exceptions given below.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Thank you, Senator. Would you agree with that, Senator Bourne?

SENATOR BOURNE: I do.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Yes, I do.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Thank you very much. Thanks, Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Synowiecki.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Senator Fischer, there's been a lot of initiatives in a lot of states relative to eminent domain abuse, and it was, I believe, the Kelo, am I pronouncing that right, the Kelo Supreme Court decision that precipitated a lot of this legislation, because essentially what happened in that case, as I understand it, eminent domain was utilized for the sole purpose of putting up, like, a shopping center type of establishment. Your bill limits the restriction on eminent domain to agricultural land. Is this something that's going...is this initiative something that's going on in other states as well, that limits it to agricultural