

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 3, 2006

LB 79

have to at least accommodate the people that are living on both sides. Because of the size of the rail and stuff they use nowadays, if you don't have a crossing, you just as well have a royal gorge across there because you can't get across there with anything that has wheels on there. It just isn't tall enough to do it. So I think this is something that I don't think we need and I'm certainly going to vote against it. Thank you, Madam President.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Louden. Senator Stuhr is next in line, followed by Senators Baker and Fischer.

SENATOR STUHR: Thank you, Madam President and members of the body. I, too, appreciate the comments that have been made regarding the railroad crossing and I will not be supporting the bill because I agree also that I believe it's a local issue that communities can deal with internally. A couple of years ago, we had a railroad closing just a mile outside of our town and it was supposed to take care of the long trains that we have going through. And constantly, we now have other blockings that...other crossings that are blocked. So it hasn't alleviated the problem at all. And I think it could be a safety issue in reverse in the fact, and I think that was mentioned, maybe a town does have two crossings but one of them may have trains on and so there may be an emergency that the other track or the other crossing could be used. So those are just a couple of reasons that I feel it's a local issue and I do not believe that it needs to be dealt with on the state level. Thank you.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Stuhr. Senator Baker...Senator Baker waives. Senator Fischer.

SENATOR FISCHER: Thank you, Madam President. Would Senator Baker yield to a question, please?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I'm sorry, Senator who?

SENATOR FISCHER: Would Senator Baker yield to a question?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, Senator Baker, would you yield?