

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 3, 2006

LB 1189

diesel fuel. Why should those who are not getting these credits other than for gasoline get them also for diesel?

SENATOR BAKER: They're using the credits, redeeming it against the tax on gasoline, Senator Chambers. And right now, they cannot use that, redeem those credits for diesel fuel tax, just gasoline tax. They're getting them now.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's the way it should be.

SENATOR BAKER: Well...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You redeem only against the tax on gasoline. What is the argument for letting them use them against the tax on diesel?

SENATOR BAKER: There is so much ethanol being produced, it's subject to what we call EPIC Fund credits that the fuel jobbers that are buying these credits are running out of places to use them, I guess, without going to some very small jobbers or smaller jobbers. And they're simply asking to be able to use these credits against diesel fuel tax as well as gasoline fuel tax.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, that's the jobber's fault, not the Legislature's. They got more...they bit off more than they can chew, swallow, and digest, in a manner of speaking. Isn't that true?

SENATOR BAKER: The jobbers are not making anything off this. They typically are paying 99.9 percent of the face value of these. So they're just making enough to pay the paperwork, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But here's what I'm asking. These jobbers wound up with more of an item than they can make use of.

SENATOR BAKER: No, the jobbers are not the ones receiving the credits. The ethanol producers receive these credits and then they sell these credits or redeem them on motor fuel tax through the jobbers.