

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 3, 2006

LR 259

for making grants, alter or abolish it. But don't take that position where things will remain in statute as they are, the public will be led to believe that these competitive grants will be the means by which these funds are distributed when, as a matter of fact, the Legislature has no intent to abide by those provisions. I'd like to ask Senator Brown a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Brown.

SENATOR BROWN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Brown, my approach may sound argumentative, but that is not my intent in this approach. Do you...what do you object to about the process in place now, with reference to the competitive grants and the board making determinations?

SENATOR BROWN: I don't object to anything about that process. I object to us saying to the voting public--and I've had people be quite combative about...more about what Senator McDonald is proposing to do, taking money for compulsive gamblers, which I think is a legitimate thing that we ought to do--that we are...that we, the Legislature, have been...have not honored the idea of the money going to the environment. I don't think that...I think a lot of people do not...are not as knowledgeable about the process as they are about whether money is diverted. And I just think that some of the...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, Senator Brown, before my time runs out,...

SENATOR BROWN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...there is nothing in this proposal that I'm offering which in any way castigates the Legislature or casts a reflection. If anything, it makes the Legislature a partner, pursuant to the constitution, in how at least 10 percent of that money will be utilized. And there are no strings attached by way of this amendment to how that 10 percent is utilized. Do you agree with that characterization of the amendment?