TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

April 3, 2006 LR 259

SENATOR BROWN: Well, I guess...

SENATOR SCHROCK: ...you know, we've got water issues, we can fund it, but we have to go through the grant process and let the board rate them and decide.

SENATOR BROWN: We have made it sound, on the floor, as if we have taken this money and used it for entirely different purposes, arbitrarily taken money, even in times that were good, away from environmental issues. And the real truth is that everything that we've used it for has been for environmental purposes; it just didn't happen to be through the process that the Environmental Trust Board would prefer to have used, which is, they're making the decisions. And I am not certain yet how I'm going to vote on this amendment, because I do believe that Senator Chambers has given some flexibility for the Legislature to be involved. On the other hand, I don't want it to seem like this money has not gone for environmental purposes, because it It just hasn't gone through the process that the Environmental Trust Board would prefer, that they have. And I don't think that we can say in good faith to the voters that we taken money away from the environment, because we just...it's not that we have diverted it to other purposes; we've just not done it through the process that the Environmental Trust Board would prefer. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Brown. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, as I've said on other occasions, laws is laws and rules is rules. When the Legislature establishes a procedure, either the procedure has value, or it does not. If it does not have value, it ought to be repealed. If it does have value, it ought to be followed. The board did not create itself. The board did not establish the processes that exist in statute. If those procedures are there, they ought to be respected. I don't think any board is behaving inappropriately, but rather, is fulfilling its duty by objecting to the Legislature circumventing, undermining, or weakening the process which is in place. If the Legislature does not like the board's existence, abolish the board. If the Legislature does not like the process established