

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 3, 2006

LR 259

early years of this century. And at that point in time, we were cutting back annually...either we were flat on appropriations, or we were cutting back 2 or 3 percent, on average. So this would mean that you could take more from the Environmental Trust, three times as much as you probably would be taking from other agencies. So it's allowing a bigger chunk to come out of the Environmental Trust than you would take out of other agencies, on average, even during the worst of times. So I think what supporters of the Environmental Trust feel like is that it's entirely fair to ask them to cut back in hard times, too, but why not make it proportional? They think that what they're doing is very important. I would argue that what they're doing is very important. They think also, and they rightly point out, that we passed a set of statutes that put into place this grant-making power, and that that set of statutes didn't provide anything for taking money out of the process and the Legislature doing otherwise with it; that this was voted upon by the people, and that's the way it should stay. So this is a compromise as far as that particular strict point of view is concerned, in that we're allowing 10 percent of the funds to be siphoned off in any one year. As far as the way the education side is treated, there may be differences. There has been differences. For myself, I can't keep track of it all. The Education Committee has had a big part to say in how the education side is used. But the education language that's there, I remind you, has always been there. That's the original education language that was there. The Environmental Trust language was a little different. And certainly, the statutes that we put in place at the time that the original constitutional amendment was passed, before the original constitutional amendment was passed, was much different and included only a grant-making process. So there are some historic reasons that they have taken different paths, to a certain extent, as well as some practical political considerations. But I think 10 percent is a reasonably fair number. I think we've given back to the Legislature, in accordance with what we've heard on the floor here lately, additional powers to be sure the Legislature can, for most practical purposes, structure this thing. So I'm hoping we've found the right middle ground for you here. Thank you.