TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 30, 2006 LB 745

language. Would you agree?

SENATOR JANSSEN: I would agree.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: When you say, in the committee amendment, wagers no higher than \$10, are you talking about distance from the ground or the amount of the wager?

SENATOR JANSSEN: The amount of the wager.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Could we say, wagers no greater than \$10 rather than higher than \$10? Might that be a better way to say it? Might it?

SENATOR JANSSEN: That would ... that would be fine.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Now since you're talking about the maximum, there is nothing which would prevent the wager from being a lesser amount, is there?

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR JANSSEN: I would imagine that if you had a machine that would accept a lesser amount...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, no...based on the language...

SENATOR JANSSEN: It does not say anything about being a lesser amount, no, a \$10 wager.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: There could be. So when you said \$10, you're making a presumption, aren't you, that's not justified by the language in the amendment of your committee, right?

SENATOR JANSSEN: It does say \$10 per play.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It says no...but it says no higher than, so it can be a lesser amount, right?

SENATOR JANSSEN: That it could be.