

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 30, 2006 LB 57

fear political repercussions, into putting into law something that I'm talking about here--serious bodily injury to that which has no body. When I get some of the scurrilous mail, I will be sent pictures of a fetus. I don't know whether it's computer generated. I don't know whether it's a picture of an aborted fetus, whether by way of miscarriage or an abortion, legal or otherwise. But when the person sends it, they will ask questions. How can you say this is not a baby? What I would ask them, how can you say that we ought to pass a law which is not talking about what you're sending me a picture of, but something smaller than the period at the end of the sentence that you wrote? They don't believe that. They think that I'm lying. They say that I am so pro-abortion that I will say anything to misrepresent anything Senator Foley brings. I am not misrepresenting it and I have tried to bring amendments such as this one, which Senator Foley objected to. This amendment would say, "The provisions of this act shall not apply until the individual member of Homo sapiens in utero has reached a stage of development where arms, legs, hands, feet, and a head are present," which means you have a body. And although I disagree with this approach of giving a fetus independent existence from that of the pregnant woman, if you're going to have a law like this, let it apply at least where there is a body. Senator Foley said, and at the time he said it there was no opportunity for me to speak because we moved on, that since this amendment contains plural words such as arms, legs, hands, feet, that somebody could challenge the application of the law if a fetus happened to have one hand, being born deformed. What Senator Foley and others may be unaware of when it comes to the interpreting of laws is that courts are operated by judges who have intelligence. They are not driven by the narrow-minded zealotry of zealots and religious extremists. And where there is a plural word, it also includes the singular. Where there is a singular word, it also includes the plural. When we talk about a person, we don't say a person or persons, making it plural. The singular, when it comes to construing statutory language or constitutional language, includes the plural. So Senator Foley's reason, among others, for objecting to this amendment is because the plural is there and a fetus may only have one arm, one leg, one foot, is as preposterous as the bill itself. But this amendment is not irrational. If a prosecutor