TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 29, 2006 LB 925

what you're talking about, but that's part of the enhancement of this penalty process, is that we're moving from a third offense type of, here's your third bite at the apple, to a second offense. You just had two bites at the apple; now it's time to go get that help that you need, and we want to try to help you with that. So does that make sense, Senator? What I'm saying is we're moving from third offense--here's your bite at the apple on the third offense. You only get two bites at the apple I mean, we're getting to the point where we're this time. saying a third offense DUI, especially with high BAC, that just shouldn't be happening out there. So we're going to crank it up a notch, and we're going to push you back to a second offense. And Senator, I would yield the rest of my time to you, to speak freely.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Synowiecki.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you. Thank you, Senator Friend. appreciate them comments, although I don't know if I'm entirely clear yet. While you were talking I was talking to the committee counsel a little bit, and I just hope you can conceptualize a little bit of concern I have in terms of having our statutes serve as a motivator for some of these individuals, particularly habitual criminals, which they are, habitual drunk drivers, that one of the things that we have available to us is this potential to lose your license for 15 years, and how incredibly motivating that is for individuals to engage in the treatment process. And there are a number of cases that I'm personally aware of where individuals were confronted with that possibility, and that possibility is losing their license for 15 years, and they, quite frankly, Senator Friend, made some life-changing...dramatic life changes because of possibility that they would essentially not be able to engage society for 15 years, as they had known, because of this license suspension. And you know how critical a driver's license is for individuals to remain productive citizens. So I guess one of the things that I'm a bit concerned about is probation officers, treatment providers losing that leverage of the possibility of losing their license for 15 years. Right now the court has discretion on whether to place you on probation or to give you a straight sentence, and a straight sentence carries with it that