is doing into legislative language that becomes a statutory requirement of the state, I think we have to be careful what the language is. Senator Pederson said that he thought that we could let the agency or the group decide what they put in there. I think we have to be careful, though, because they're going to have to follow what the statute says. So I understand where you're coming from, and I don't think we want a 5,000-page document either because I believe what you told Senator Smith was, no one is going to read that. Everybody is...somebody is going to read everything. We have to understand that. It may not be nobody in the Legislature. It may not be our staff or whoever is going to read that 5,000-page document. But where that becomes a public document in our day and age, that is worldwide information.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Right. And Senator Erdman, I think that the agency would prepare a report with some discretion. I do not...I would not anticipate that we would get into that kind of situation where we would be giving away state secrets so to speak.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Sure.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: So that's not the intent of this.

SENATOR ERDMAN: And if we can...it's your time. I have my light on if we can continue or...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: If you want to ask another question, that would be fine.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Sure. As I'm reviewing the language, and again it comes back to the authority that's granted requiring certain restraint by those individuals that are involved, I notice that in a bill that we have going through the Legislature on performance audit, in which I'm a member of the committee, there is a provision that says an individual who violates the law and releases information is subject to a Class IV felony, which is actually in Section 77-27,119, that refers to the penalty in the event that an individual breaks the law. Do you know what the penalty would be, because once we're going to codify this and