

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 29, 2006 LB 940

SENATOR SMITH: ...to that group.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: And it would require a report.

SENATOR SMITH: It would require a report.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Correct.

SENATOR SMITH: So the report coming to the Legislature...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes.

SENATOR SMITH: ...in effect, correct, would be required to have all the activities of the working group?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: No. And I was hoping to...I turned my light on so that I could maybe respond to Senator Erdman a little bit more. I don't...I would not envision this report as having the kind of specificity to it that you would get into those sensitive issues. I would not...that is not the intent of the report. The intent of the report, the main intent of the report was to get the funding report in part, but also the relationship to the activities that are being undertaken by the policy group. So if we need to improve the language or modify the language, we could certainly consider that. But the intent is not to have some humongous 5,000-page report that, you know, that people aren't going to have time to read. It's more of a different kind of report than that.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Senator Schimek. And I think this is a very appropriate discussion we're having. Again, my concern is by codifying this Office of Homeland Security and the Governor appointing the director of the homeland security or Director of State Homeland Security, and then the working group and who joins the working group or who is appointed and who appoints and so forth, we are taking what seems to be working now, at least I'm unaware of anything breaking down in the process right now, and what I think is good about this process, and this is speculation on my part, is that some time and monetary resources of various departments within state government are kicking in, so to speak. So Health and Human