

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 28, 2006 LB 1038

SENATOR CUDABACK: Absolutely.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Had I done anything to delay, cripple, or even kill your bill?

SENATOR CUDABACK: None whatsoever.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would you have liked it if I had offered a very controversial amendment that might have taken your bill down?

SENATOR CUDABACK: No, I would not have.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you believe in the golden rule?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Um-hum, I do.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What is your version of the golden rule?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Well, I guess, do unto...whatever.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do unto others as you would have them do unto you?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Um-hum.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You've already stated you would not want me to offer an amendment that would take your bill down. Does the golden rule tell you that you should show the same consideration for Senator Schimek? Or are you still willing to take her bill down, even though yours didn't go down?

SENATOR CUDABACK: You know I wouldn't do that, Senator Chambers. But I thought this was such a simple amendment, and it...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, a lot of times we're not aware of the problem that will surface, and that's why we have the debate. But once the debate is underway and it becomes clear of the nature of the problem, then it would seem to me that a collegial senator who believes in the golden rule would want for his