

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 28, 2006      LB 898

introduce it. This way, I wasn't introducing any amendments, and I was keeping my word to do so. The amendment makes some minor changes. It changes "all interested persons" to "the public." It changes what was, in the committee amendment, "advanced information" to "notice." And it changes "proposals, projects, and other issues," to "matters." The intent of the proposed amendment is the same as the language in the committee amendment. And as I stated earlier, the minor changes do not change the original intent of this provision. The intent is to require public bodies to include sufficient detail on issues the public body will discuss or act upon, in order to provide information and notice to the public. This is necessary so the public has the opportunity to attend the meeting and possibly provide information to the public body which could be helpful in their deliberations. This provision does not require knowledge of information provided on previous agendas. The intent of this provision means that citizens do not have to look at language in past agendas in order to understand the issue and/or action that will be covered at the proposed meeting. The language must be sufficiently detailed on the current meeting agenda. And I do support this amendment, I do support the committee amendments, and I thank the body for its attention.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Preister. Senator Schimek. Is Senator Schimek on the...?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President, members. I just wanted to go on the record as supporting AM2742. And I think this has been pretty well vetted with all the committee members, too, and I think all of them would be supportive of this amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Schimek. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'd like to ask Senator Langemeier a question, please.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Langemeier, would you respond?

SENATOR LANGEMEIER: Yes.