

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 27, 2006 LR 259

when your good sense and judgment can protect you from that. Gambling is an addiction, but they don't make it a crime, when the state is the biggest house. The state knows that the vast majority have to lose, and the state, doing that, can somehow persuade itself that it's acting in the best interests of the public?

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why will not the state sell drugs, and say that what we derive from that will be used for the schools, to teach people: don't buy drugs? I think this lottery is one of the most--pardon the expression--damnable things that this state has done since I've been a member of the Legislature. I feel no shame, because I've done all I could to stop it, I've offered proposals to abolish it. They get nowhere, but I can raise my voice against it. Senator McDonald is not doing anything to create more use of the lottery, as far as playing it, but a better distribution in a small way, of those ill-gotten funds. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Louden on the advancement of LR 259.

SENATOR LOUDEN: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the body. I guess I have a problem with putting something like this in the constitution. First of all, when it's in the constitution, then that money will be used that way, irregardless. As I've said before when we were putting in the Fair Board, you could have kids starving to death out on the street, but by golly, that money will go to wherever it's designated, if it's in the constitution. I don't see why it can't be done by statute, just so that it can be adjusted in the future. We don't know what will happen in five or ten years from now. Perhaps we'd run into other difficulties; perhaps there will be some situations arise that need particular funding. But when we put it in the constitution, it's locked in there. So if that's what you want to do is lock it in the constitution, why then, Senator McDonald's LR 259CA is the one to do it. Myself, I would rather see it in a statute, rather than locked up such as it is. So at the present time, I don't