

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 27, 2006 LB 1199

be interpreted to mean that cause no longer exists because there's no way to treat them, is it?

SENATOR BOURNE: No, and I'll tell you, again, that language is from the current Mental Health Commitment Act that was transferred over to this one, and I would say no. What we're trying to accomplish here is that, frankly, we shouldn't incarcerate or, excuse me, civilly commit someone...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...when the situation doesn't warrant it. And this is the mechanism by how those...one of the ways that these folks can leave the civil commitment confinement. So it doesn't mean that if we determine they are no longer eligible to be treated, or the treatment isn't working, it doesn't mean they can be released, if that...was that your question, Senator Beutler?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes.

SENATOR BOURNE: Yeah, it does not...it does not in any way...the intent is that it does not apply. Some people just can't be treated...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...and that would...the ability to not be treated is not a mechanism by which they would be released from civil commitment. And if we need to add a little clarity there, I...that makes sense.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Senator, those are all my questions, and I thank you for enduring them all, and I appreciate the opportunity to look at some of the language.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bourne. That was your third time, Senator. Senator Synowiecki, followed by Senator Flood and others.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Senator