

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 27, 2006 LB 1199

the focus on protecting our communities from those offenders we think will reoffend, it made sense to have its own separate commitment section. And so I would say that...again, I'll have to go back and look at both of those acts, but I don't believe that these definitions regarding dangerous sex offenders or those who have been convicted of more than one offense, sex offense, would be included in there. So I think the appropriate commitment vehicle would be the Sex Offender Commitment Act.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. I just don't want strange kinds of things to start to happen where somebody races to the DD statute or races to the mental health statute to get a commitment, a civil commitment, under one of those procedures and thereby avoid, potentially, your permanent lifetime...

SENATOR BOURNE: Supervision.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...supervision provision here. And so I hope we can take a look at that...

SENATOR BOURNE: Absolutely, I think it's appropriate...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...before General (sic) File.

SENATOR BOURNE: I think it's appropriate that we look at all three of those sections, and if harmonizing language is required, why, hopefully you'll help us draft that.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Last question, Senator Bourne. The other part of the experience I had in looking through this with my staff was that it really kind of seemed to us that not only was there a lack of the statutes that you are providing now, but even in cases where available statutes, the mental health statute, might have applied, as people came out of prison, as they came out of the regional centers, there was no interest in instituting additional proceedings on the part of county attorneys. And in fact, as you may know, it got so bad that the regional center and the DHH advised no longer giving notice to county attorneys because...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.