

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 27, 2006 LB 1199

was interested in a comprehensive approach. Iowa, as you all know, passed some pretty limiting residency restrictions, which I might add, by the way, the county attorneys across the state of Iowa are screaming for the repeal of right now, in an effort to do something about the issue of sex offenders. And I think if you look at what Senator Bourne has introduced, what the committee has worked on, you see reasonable, comprehensive reform in how we deal with sex offenders in Nebraska. What Iowa did was nothing more than politics. What Senator Bourne is doing is real...is providing real safety to communities across the state from the most dangerous of sex offenders that we're all afraid of. And he met...he touched on it in his opening, but I want to call your attention to, oh, page 101 of the committee amendment in AM2715. Communities across the state--and it's hard not to fault them given the hysteria in Iowa and the fear of spillover from that state--have passed some pretty extensive residency restrictions in Nebraska. This bill not only has the 500-foot residency restriction, but more importantly, and Senator Bourne talked about it, it has lifetime supervision, lifetime supervision by a parole officer, subject to monitoring, and part of that, on page 102, is a requirement that the individual subject to community supervision reside at a specified location and notify his or her community supervision officer of any change in address or employment. That means when a sex offender gets out, finishes treatment, wherever, either in the prison system or in the Health and Human Services System, if you're afraid that he's moving back to your neighborhood and it presents a legitimate risk, there is a person to go see in state government. That would be the community supervision officer. And the community supervision officer can then assess the risk and make a decision that hopefully results in a real kind of residency restriction, and that's based on the risk, on the offender, on the neighborhood, on the fact that you have a number of children. I'm not saying it's going to work exactly the way you want every single time, but there's a system set up over and above the 500 feet of residency restrictions that I think will protect our communities. And that, to me, is probably one of the most important parts of the bill. The other thing I like about LB 1199, and I thank the committee for looking at this reasonably, is the fact that when a sex offender does offend, especially against a child, there should be severe