

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 27, 2006      LB 786

everybody's part, but I would like to ask Senator Mines and Senator Schimek, at least for the record, what their intent would be now that the Louden amendment has been attached.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Louden. I mean...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Now we...now...Senator Mines, Senator Cudaback, please.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Mines, would you yield?

SENATOR MINES: Yes, Mr. President. Senator.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Mines, we now have three standards in the bill which would be a legitimate means or legitimate cause for recall--malfeasance, misfeasance, and nonfeasance. The court has certain procedures and certain rules by which it operates. Whatever those rules may be as they would apply unto this situation, is it your intention that at the appropriate point in the process, wherever that may be, in accordance with standard judicial rules, that you intend the courts to be able to rule on behalf of an officeholder as to whether there is, in fact, misfeasance, malfeasance, or nonfeasance?

SENATOR MINES: Senator, it was never my intent to usurp the power of the court or to take them out of the process, the legitimate process. And if I understand what Senator Louden's amendment has done, it merely takes out in our language that the court is an option, is a direct option, in the process. And you would know better than I if the court can still be engaged at...if that person being recalled so chooses at the appropriate time. So it was never my intention to (inaudible).

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. So you intend the court to be involved...

SENATOR MINES: Absolutely.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...at whatever point in time they may consider to be appropriate under their procedure. Senator Schimek, let me ask you.