

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 27, 2006      LB 786

SENATOR BEUTLER: You can do it for any reason.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: I think you would say that any individual may go to a court and seek an injunction. The court might well say, you have no grounds, right, and bump it. And by the way, I think that's exactly what a court will do, because these will not be standards, in that case. The ultimate trier of fact, or determiner of whether one of those three things have occurred, will be a voting member of the public. I don't foresee a court entertaining for long an injunction...a request for an injunction, which could be done now, but would be groundless and bumped. But I've got to think that's exactly the same result post-LB 786, as well.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And you would see no rationale that it would be different if the result of a recall was successful and the officeholder who was thrown out then appealed to the court on the basis that it was not malfeasance, misfeasance,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...or nonfeasance?

SENATOR LANDIS: That's right. That's right.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Further discussion? Senator Brown, followed by Senator Smith and six others.

SENATOR BROWN: Mr. President, members, I have...I serve on the committee that heard this bill, and have listened to a great deal of information about it. I would prefer to keep it in the form that it is currently, even though I agree with some of the concerns that have been raised by Senator Redfield. I do think