

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 27, 2006 LB 786

across different judicial districts or something. Will that make a difference on how this is done? And is the judge supposed to have this done in a timely manner? The only thing I see in there is that after a decision is made, that the clerk has to notify the people within five days, and that's the only place in there I see where there's any length of time mentioned whatsoever. I really think that this is something that we have to consider very carefully. And I think this is legislation that would have more ramifications than what we probably realize, and we would be working on our electoral process that probably will hinder it more than what it...what good it will do. So I would like to see this amendment with a favorable vote. And I'm...certainly, I can support the whole bill, then, I think, with this amendment on there, but as it is at the present time, I would like to see something in there to take this out of the hands of the court. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Louden. Further discussion? Senator Beutler, on the Louden amendment, AM2915 to the Mines amendment, AM2867.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, this is a tough one, but this is an opportunity that we really should think about, I think, in terms of possibly making a positive change here. You know, it's interesting that recall doesn't apply to state senators. Why is that? I guess what's good for the goose should be good for the gander, right? But I'm guessing that if we had a debate on applying recall to state senators under the current law, you would be overwhelmingly offended by the idea that your voting on a particular issue one way or another would subject you to recall. Recall is a very, very tight rein, the way it is, because you can vote...you can get a recall going against somebody because you don't like the color of their hair, for completely extraneous reasons, for racial reasons, for reasons that relate to discrimination, or you can do it because you didn't like the way they voted on one issue. It's a tight, tight rein. And I'm wondering, do you get better leadership or worse leadership when the rein is so tight like that? And I'm thinking you probably get worse leadership. If you get better leadership, then we should be applying it to ourselves, I suppose. In any event, I wanted to ask maybe