

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 27, 2006 LB 786

simply needs to be some basis with a higher standard to the recall. And I think this does it, and it allows the court to make a simple determination. It doesn't have to be a big process. It's simply a hearing, and then they determine if that higher standard has been met in the allegation. There's no determination whether or not someone is guilty or innocent. The determination is, does that 60-word statement meet the criteria of those three conditions? So thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Senator Schimek.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schimek, there's about a minute left. Did you care to...she does not wish to use her time. (Visitors introduced.) Senator Chambers, followed by Senator Louden, Senator Beutler, Senator Brown, Senator Smith.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, the work that I was doing on this bill in conjunction with Senators Schimek and Mines was to try to find language which would say what they were trying to say in the best way, as I analyze the situation. That work did not mean that I agreed with everything that was in the bill. Senator Louden is making a point. Senator Landis, not only today, but the other day, had made some very cogent comments about this entire matter of recall. I can understand people wanting to have some kind of standard, or, if possible, to insulate officials who have done a good job from being hounded, harassed, harried, trifled with. But we're all adults. We have thick skins. And whatever comes along with the territory which comprises an office is what goes with the territory. Senator Louden and others have talked about the percentage of voters which would have to be in favor of recall before a recall election is held. The petition itself does not remove anybody from office, just as a vote for impeachment does not remove anybody from office. With the recall, it puts the issue to a vote of the people; in impeachment, it puts it before the court. But in neither case does the action which initiates the process terminate or complete it. If the matter becomes too complicated, the purpose of recall will be defeated. In drafting the language that I offered, I was trying to get away from the idea of a full-blown hearing before a court, where evidence is taken. If that were to be the case, Senator Beutler, the other day, had raised