

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 27, 2006 LB 786

think what Senator Mines has come up with in the way of definition is very good, and I think that it will go a long ways to improving the whole recall process. I also like that option of the district court, but I think Senator Louden has put up an amendment that gives people a distinct choice here, and I hope people will pay attention to this amendment and what it does. I'm probably not going to vote for the Louden amendment, but I certainly could live with it either way. And Senator Mines, if you would like any of my time, I'd be happy to give it to you. Senator Cudaback, I'd like to give my time to Senator Mines.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Mines.

SENATOR MINES: Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Senator Schimek. Senator Louden's amendment I think has been well explained. And you know, who determines the...whether or not any of those three criteria--malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance...I enjoy saying those three words at the same time. (Laughter) Malfeasance, nonfeasance, misfeasance. Who better to determine than the court? And you know, early on, my first application to the Government Committee did not include the court as setting...as being able to determine if that standard had been met. I think we need someone that has...other than a clerk. We talked about the clerk determining, at one point, the clerk or the election commissioner determining whether or not those standards had been met. And I think as written, the amendment as written is...my amendment, AM2867, provides a bit of oversight. It's an elective by that person being recalled whether or not they choose the court or not. If you notice, in my amendment, additionally, there's no requirement that either side be represented by legal counsel. So no one has to bring an attorney, no one has to spend any money. And I guess what...ultimately, what my belief is, if you've got someone willing to serve in a village, one of five members in a village, not paid, there's no remuneration of any kind, and someone files a recall because they don't like the way the street got swept on a particular day--and that has happened--there needs to be a higher standard than that, because this process is hurtful, and it does divide communities. And it...I certainly don't want to put a barrier in front of the recall process where people feel empowered. And this process can still continue. There just