

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 27, 2006 LB 786

recall. Generally speaking, candidates for election do not need to meet a long list of qualifications. They simply need to be registered voters residing in a district or the area they are to represent. Voters base their votes on more reasons than we can probably name. Sometimes they vote for someone because the person is qualified by experience or temperament for the job. Sometimes they vote for someone because they share an opinion, or something that has nothing to do with the elected office. Voters also cast votes for someone when they want to vote against another candidate. So the voters elect people for every reason imaginable. Now we are saying that to recall someone from office, voters first must go through a court to decide if the reason for the recall is good enough. We can bestow an office on someone for any reason whatsoever, but we cannot withdraw that office by using the same criteria. We consequently have to go through court. This amendment that I've had drafted leaves in the new language as amended by the Chambers amendments, defining malfeasance and misfeasance and nonfeasance of office. It leaves in the new language on the 60-word statement required by petitioners. That's Section 4 of the committee amendment of the bill. The amendment strikes new language on submitting the petition statement to the district clerk and district judge. The amendment strikes new language on the 60-word statement allowed for the subject of the recall, and reinstates old language on that statement. It leaves recall in place as currently construed, except that the terms "malfeasance in office," "misfeasance," and "nonfeasance in office" are defined as amended by the Chambers floor amendments to the committee amendment. With that, I would ask for passage of this amendment to the AM2867. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Loudon. You've heard the opening on AM2915 to AM2867. Open for discussion. Senator Redfield.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I was surprised by the amendment that is before us, and actually intended to speak to the bill. And I would agree with Senator Landis that the definition language is an improvement. I would also agree with Senator Landis that the recall system that we have currently in Nebraska does not include the courts